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#### Abstract

The Megachilini is the most speciose tribe within Megachilidae, comprising four genera: Coelioxys Latreille, 1809, Megachile Latreille, 1802, Noteriades Cockerell, 1931, and Radoszkowskiana Popov, 1955. In spite of its high diversity and ubiquity, the phylogenetic relationships both within and among the genera are still obscure. In view of the lack of a phylogenetic analysis for the species of the cleptoparasitic genera Coelioxys and Radoszkowskiana, a phylogeny using parsimony was inferred based on 239 adult morphological characters. The analyses included the four known species of Radoszkowskiana and 72 species of Coelioxys as the in-group, representing all subgenera currently recognized. Another 14 species of Anthidium Fabricius, 1804, Aspidosmia Brauns, 1926, Dioxys Lepeletier \& Serville, 1825, Lithurgopsis Fox, 1902, Megachile, Noteriades, Ochreriades Mavromoustakis, 1956, and Osmia Panzer, 1806 were included as the out group. In the equal-weights analysis, Coelioxys and Radoszkowskiana formed a clade nested within Megachile. Taxonomic implications for the genus Coelioxys are as follows: the subgenera Coelioxys (Acrocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973, Coelioxys (Haplocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973, and Coelioxys (Mesocoelioxys) Ruszkowski, 1986 are merged with Coelioxys (Neocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973, Coelioxys (Glyptocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973, and Coelioxys (Allocoelioxys) Tkalců, 1974, respectively; Coelioxys (Dasycoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973 and Coelioxys (Paracoelioxys) Gribodo, 1884 are raised from synonymy with C. (Glyptocoelioxys) and Coelioxys (Coelioxys s.s.) Latreille, 1809, respectively. Six new subgenera are described: Coelioxys (Austrocleptria) Rocha-Filho and Coelioxys (Leuraspidia) Rocha-Filho from the Neotropical region, Coelioxys (Melissoctonia) Rocha-Filho and Coelioxys (Rozeniana) Rocha-Filho from the Palaearctic region, Coelioxys (Eingana) Rocha-Filho from Australia, and Coelioxys (Callosarissa) Rocha-Filho from both Australasian and Indomalayan regions. Some taxonomic observations are made and a key to the 20 Coelioxys subgenera recognized herein is provided.
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## INTRODUCTION

The tribe Megachilini is the most diverse of all Megachilidae, corresponding to $49.2 \%$ of the species recorded for the family (Ascher \& Pickering, 2015).

[^0]In spite of being considered monophyletic in a phylogeny of all major lineages of long-tongued bees (Roig-Alsina \& Michener, 1993), there were no known synapomorphies for the tribe (Gonzalez et al., 2012). In both the molecular analyses of Praz et al. (2008) and Litman et al. (2011), and the morphological phylogenetic study by Gonzalez et al. (2012), the genus Noteriades Cockerell, 1931, previously placed in Osmiini, was nested within Megachilini. In view
of this result, Gonzalez et al. (2012) transferred the genus to Megachilini, listed three putative synapomorphies for the clade, and established a new classification for the tribe that now encompasses four genera: Noteriades, Megachile Latreille, 1802, Coelioxys Latreille, 1809, and Radoszkowskiana Popov, 1955. The tribe includes nest building (Noteriades and Megachile) and cleptoparasitic (Coelioxys and Radoszkowskiana) genera, and the latter primarily attack Megachile spp. (Mitchell, 1973; Baker, 1975; Michener, 2007; Rozen \& Kamel, 2007).

Regarding cleptoparasitic and hospicidal behaviours, two distinct modes are recorded for Megachilini: females of Radoszkowskiana rufiventris (Spinola, 1838) and Coelioxys (Allocoelioxys) coturnix Pérez, 1884 enter the host nest and oviposit after the host female has deposited her egg (Rozen \& Kamel, 2007, 2008). In contrast, species such as Coelioxys (Liothyrapis) decipiens Spinola, 1838, Coelioxys (Boreocoelioxys) octodentata Say, 1824, and Coelioxys (Boreocoelioxys) sayi Robertson, 1897 lay their egg before the host egg is deposited (Baker, 1971; Rozen \& Kamel, 2007). These two distinct modes also differ in the host life stage that is attacked, the cleptoparasitic larval instar that performs the attack, the behaviour of the attacking instar, and the mandibular modifications involved in the attack (Rozen \& Kamel, 2007). Based on those facts, Rozen \& Kamel (2008) pointed out that Radoszkowskiana and Coelioxys could possibly have had a common parasitic ancestor, and that two modes of cleptoparasitism had evolved in Coelioxys. A molecular phylogenetic analysis of the origins of cleptoparasitic lineages in long-tongued bees suggested one or two further origins of cleptoparasitism in the tribe Megachilini (Litman et al., 2013). Thus, the authors stressed the importance of a detailed phylogenetic study in order to clarify the relationship between Radoszkowskiana and Coelioxys.
Radoszkowskiana is a small genus with only four species and a distribution ranging from northern Africa to India (Schwarz, 2001; Ascher \& Pickering, 2015). The only host record for this genus was provided by Rozen \& Kamel (2007), who described the biology and immature stages of $R$. rufiventris, a cleptoparasite of Megachile (Pseudomegachile) nigripes (Spinola, 1838). Coelioxys, by contrast, is a cosmopolitan, wide-ranging, megadiverse genus with at least 481 species currently recognized and recorded in almost all continents, except Antarctica, and most countries, excepting Iceland, New Zealand, and some small archipelagos and islands in the Indian and Pacific oceans (Mitchell, 1973; Michener, 2007; Ascher \& Pickering, 2015). Despite most of the known host records for Coelioxys being for Megachile species (Mitchell, 1973; Baker, 1975; Michener, 2007), there are some examples of associations with other bee genera: Anthophora

Latreille, 1803 (Westrich, 1990), Carloticola Moure \& Urban, 1991 (Rocha-Filho, 2015), Centris Fabricius, 1804 (Vinson, Frankie \& Rao, 2011), Epanthidium Moure, 1947 (C.A. Garófalo, pers. comm.), Euglossa Friese, 1802 (Augusto \& Garófalo, 2004), Hoplitis Klug, 1807 (Westrich, 1990; Voith, 1997), Osmia Panzer, 1806 (Alfken, 1928), Tetraloniella Ashmead, 1899 (Apidae) (Scheuchl, 1996), and Trachusa Panzer, 1804 (Megachilidae) (Westrich, 1990).

Mitchell (1973), considering the high diversity of the Coelioxys fauna from the New World, subdivided the genus into 13 subgenera. For the Old World fauna, however, the situation is less straightforward, with various subgenera proposed by different authors (Pasteels, 1968, 1977, 1982; Tkalců, 1974; Ruszkowski et al., 1986; Gupta, 1991, 1992, 1993). Currently at least 15 subgenera are recognized in Coelioxys (Mitchell, 1973; Michener, 2007; Moure, Melo \& Dalmolin, 2012; Ascher \& Pickering, 2015); however, most taxonomic studies of Coelioxys have been of local faunas (Holmberg, 1916; Pasteels, 1968, 1977; Mitchell, 1973; Tkalců, 1974; Baker, 1975; Warncke, 1992), and the systematics of this complex, worldwide genus remains unresolved. A phylogenetic analysis is badly needed in order to determine the taxonomic status of the various subgenera currently recognized, and the relationships among them. Of equal importance is the need to resolve the relationship between Coelioxys and Radoszkowskiana, as well as their relationships to the other genera within the tribe Megachilini.

In view of the above and considering the lack of a phylogenetic study for both the genera Coelioxys and Radoszkowskiana, this article provides a morphological phylogenetic analysis for both genera, including all four Radoszkowskiana species as well as species of all Coelioxys subgenera. The taxonomic status of Coelioxys subgenera is revised and a key to all subgenera recognized herein is also provided.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## SPECIMENS STUDIED

Most of the material examined in this study was from the Packer Collection, York University, Toronto, Canada (PCYU), but some specimens were borrowed from the following institutions: Australian National Insect Collection, Canberra, Australia (ANIC); Maximilian Schwarz' personal collection, Ansfelden, Austria (Ansfelden); United States National Pollinating Insects Collection, Bee Biology and Systematics Laboratory, Utah State University, Logan, USA (BBSL); Coleção de Abelhas e Vespas Solitárias, Faculdade de Filosofia, Ciências e Letras de Ribeirão Preto, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil (CAVS); Departamento de Zoologia, Universidade Federal de

Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Brazil (DZMG); Essig Museum of Entomology, University of California, Berkeley, USA (EMEC); Luonnontieteellinen keskusmuseo, Helsinki, Finland (LK); Coleção Camargo, Departamento de Biologia, Faculdade de Filosofia, Ciências e Letras de Ribeirão Preto, Ribeirão Preto, Brazil (RPSP); National Museum of Natural History, Smithsonian Institute, Washington D.C., USA
(USNM); and Zoologische Staatssammlung München, Munich, Germany (ZSM).

## TAXA

All four recognized species of Radoszkowskiana and 72 species of Coelioxys were selected and included in the analysis as the in-group (Table 1). The

Table 1. List of the species used in the phylogenetic analysis

| Species | Collection localities | Institute(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lithurgopsis apicalis (Cresson, 1875) | USA | PCYU |
| Anthidium (Anthidium) utahense (Swenk, 1914) | USA | PCYU |
| Aspidosmia volkmanni (Friese, 1909) | South Africa | PCYU |
| Dioxys cincta (Jurine, 1807) | Morocco, Turkey | Ansfelden |
| Ochreriades fasciata (Friese, 1899) | Israel | PCYU |
| Osmia (Cephalosmia) californica (Cresson, 1864) | Canada | PCYU |
| Noteriades chapini (Cockerell, 1933) | Kenya | PCYU |
| Noteriades cf. clypeata (Friese, 1904) | Rep. of Congo | PCYU |
| Megachile (Chelostomoides) angelarum (Cockerell, 1902) | Canada, USA | PCYU |
| Megachile (Creightonella) discolor (Smith, 1853) | Kenya, South Africa | PCYU |
| Megachile (Eutricharaea) rotundata (Fabricius , 1787) | Canada | PCYU |
| Megachile (Gronoceras) felina (Gerstäcker, 1857) | Kenya | PCYU |
| Megachile (Pseudomegachile) fulva (Smith, 1853) | South Africa | PCYU |
| Megachile (Sayapis) pugnata (Say 1837) | Canada | PCYU |
| Radoszkowskiana barrei (Radoszkowski, 1893)* | Iran, Turkey | Ansfelden |
| Radoszkowskiana gusevi (Schwarz, 2001) | Syria | Ansfelden |
| Radoszkowskiana rufiventris (Spinola, 1838) | Egypt | Ansfelden |
| Radoszkowskiana tkalcui (Schwarz, 2001) | Turkey | Ansfelden |
| Coelioxys (Acrocoelioxys) azteca (Cresson , 1878) | Costa Rica, Honduras | EMEC |
| Coelioxys (Acrocoelioxys) dinellii (Holmberg, 1916) | Argentina, Peru | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Acrocoelioxys) dolichos (Fox, 1890) | USA | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Acrocoelioxys) otomita (Cresson, 1878)* | Mexico | EMEC |
| Coelioxys (Acrocoelioxys) tolteca (Cresson, 1878)*1 | Mexico, Paraguay | EMEC, PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Allocoelioxys) acanthura (Illiger, 1806) | Kazakhstan | Ansfelden |
| Coelioxys (Allocoelioxys) afra (Lepeletier, 1841)*2 | Bulgaria, Slovakia | Ansfelden |
| Coelioxys (Allocoelioxys) caudata (Spinola, 1838) | Turkey | Ansfelden |
| Coelioxys (Allocoelioxys) echinata (Förster, 1853)*3 | Bulgaria, Slovakia | Ansfelden |
| Coelioxys (Allocoelioxys) erythrura (Spinola, 1838) | Kenya | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Allocoelioxys) nasuta (Friese, 1904) | Kenya | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Allocoelioxys) polycentris (Förster, 1853) | Slovakia | Ansfelden |
| Coelioxys (Boreocoelioxys) alata (Förster, 1853)*4 | Germany, Russia | LK, ZSM |
| Coelioxys (Boreocoelioxys) banksi (Crawford, 1914) | Canada, USA | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Boreocoelioxys) funeraria (Smith, 1854)*5 | Canada | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Boreocoelioxys) moesta (Cresson, 1864) | Canada | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Boreocoelioxys) porterae (Cockerell, 1900) | Canada | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Boreocoelioxys) rufescens (Lepeletier \& Serville, 1825) | United Kingdom | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Boreocoelioxys) rufitarsis (Smith, 1854)* | Canada | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Boreocoelioxys) sayi (Robertson, 1897) | Canada, USA | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Coelioxys) albolineata (Cockerell, 1905) | Australia | ANIC |
| Coelioxys (Coelioxys) conoidea (Illiger, 1806) | United Kingdom | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Coelioxys) froggatti (Cockerell, 1911) | Australia | ANIC |
| Coelioxys (Coelioxys) quadridentata (Linnaeus, 1758)* | Czech Rep., Slovakia | Ansfelden |
| Coelioxys (Coelioxys) reginae (Cockerell, 1905) | Australia | ANIC, PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Coelioxys) serricaudata (Baker, 1975) | USA | EMEC |

Table 1. Continued

| Species | Collection localities | Institute(s) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Coelioxys (Coelioxys) sodalis (Cresson, 1878) | Canada, USA | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Cyrtocoelioxys) chichimeca (Cresson, 1878) | Costa Rica, Mexico | EMEC |
| Coelioxys (Cyrtocoelioxys) costaricensis (Cockerell, 1914)* | Costa Rica, Mexico | PCYU, USNM |
| Coelioxys (Cyrtocoelioxys) gonaspis (Cockerell, 1924) | Mexico, USA | EMEC |
| Coelioxys (Cyrtocoelioxys) modesta (Smith, 1854) | Canada | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Cyrtocoelioxys) paraguaya (Moure, 1943) | Brazil | CAVS |
| Coelioxys (Cyrtocoelioxys) speculifera (Cockerell, 1931) | Colombia, Mexico | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Glyptocoelioxys) cayennensis (Spinola, 1841)*6 | Argentina | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Glyptocoelioxys) cerasiopleura (Holmberg, 1903) | Argentina | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Glyptocoelioxys) chilensis (Reed, 1892) | Chile | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Glyptocoelioxys) germana (Cresson, 1878) | USA | EMEC, PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Glyptocoelioxys) kuscheli (Moure, 1951) | Chile | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Glyptocoelioxys) pergandei (Schletterer, 1890)* | Chile | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Glyptocoelioxys) praetextata (Haliday, 1836) | Argentina | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Haplocoelioxys) mexicana (Cresson, 1878)* | USA | EMEC, PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Liothyrapis) apicata (Smith, 1854)* | India, Nepal | Ansfelden |
| Coelioxys (Liothyrapis) decipiens (Spinola, 1838) | Egypt, Tunisia | Ansfelden |
| Coelioxys (Liothyrapis) rotundicauda (Cockerell, 1935) | Namibia | Ansfelden |
| Coelioxys (Liothyrapis) scioensis (Gribodo, 1879) | Malawi | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Mesocoelioxys) argentea (Lepeletier, 1841)* | Kyrgyzstan | Ansfelden |
| Coelioxys (Neocoelioxys) abdominalis (Guérin, 1844) | Puerto Rico | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Neocoelioxys) simillima (Smith, 1854)*7 | Argentina, Mexico | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Neocoelioxys) slossoni (Viereck, 1902) | USA | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Neocoelioxys) vigilans (Smith, 1879) | Dominican Rep. | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Platycoelioxys) alatiformis (Friese, 1921)* | Costa Rica, Peru | PCYU, BBSL |
| Coelioxys (Rhinocoelioxys) agilis (Smith, 1879) | Brazil | DZMG, RPSP |
| Coelioxys (Rhinocoelioxys) barbata (Schwarz \& Michener, 1954) | Venezuela | USNM |
| Coelioxys (Rhinocoelioxys) clypearis (Friese, 1921) | Brazil | DZMG, RPSP |
| Coelioxys (Rhinocoelioxys) nasidens (Friese, 1921) | Bolivia, Brazil | BBSL, USNM |
| Coelioxys (Rhinocoelioxys) paraguayensis (Schrottky, 1909) | Bolivia, Brazil | RPSP, BBSL |
| Coelioxys (Rhinocoelioxys) zapoteca (Cresson, 1878)* | Brazil, Costa Rica | DZMG, BBSL |
| Coelioxys (Synocoelioxys) alternata (Say, 1837) | USA | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Synocoelioxys) apacheorum (Cockerell, 1900) | Canada | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Synocoelioxys) hunteri (Crawford, 1914) | USA | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Synocoelioxys) texana (Cresson, 1872)* | USA | EMEC, PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Torridapis) ducalis (Smith, 1854) | Indonesia, Malaysia | Ansfelden |
| Coelioxys (Torridapis) torrida (Smith, 1854)* | Comoros, Mali | Ansfelden |
| Coelioxys (Torridapis) weinlandi (Schulz, 1904) | Papua New Guinea | Ansfelden |
| Coelioxys (Xerocoelioxys) aperta (Cresson, 1878) | Mexico, USA | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Xerocoelioxys) bisoncornua (Hill, 1936) | USA | EMEC |
| Coelioxys (Xerocoelioxys) edita (Cresson, 1872)* | USA | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Xerocoelioxys) grindeliae (Cockerell, 1900) | USA | PCYU |
| Coelioxys (Xerocoelioxys) mesae (Cockerell, 1921) | Mexico, USA | PCYU |
| Coelioxys bertonii (Schrottky, 1909) | Brazil | CAVS |
| Coelioxys confusa (Smith, 1875 | Thailand, Vietnam | PCYU |
| Coelioxys odin (Strand, 1912) | Kenya | PCYU |

The type species of Radoszkowskiana and of each subgenus of Coelioxys are indicated with an asterisk. The superscript numbers indicate type species of synonymized subgenera: ${ }^{1}$ C. (Melanocoelioxys); ${ }^{2}$ both C. (Allocoelioxys) and C. (Coelioxita); ${ }^{3}$ both C. (Coelioxula) and C. (Intercoelioxys); ${ }^{4}$ C. (Paracoelioxys); ${ }^{5}$ C. (Schizocoelioxys); ${ }^{6}$ Mitchell (1973) considered Coelioxys vidua Smith, 1854 a synonym of Coelioxys praetextata Haliday, 1836, as the type species of C. (Glyptocoelioxys); however, Moure, Melo \& Dalmolin (2007), by subsequent designation, fixed C. chacoensis as the type species, which is a synonym of C. cayennensis; ${ }^{7}$ C. assumptionis is the type species of C. (Neocoelioxys), but was considered a synonym of Coelioxys simillima Smith, 1854 by both Ascher \& Pickering (2015) and Moure et al. (2012).
classification of Coelioxys subgenera initially followed Michener (2007). Thus, 15 subgenera (nine exclusive to the New World, one Holarctic, one cosmopolitan, and four from the Old World) were initially recognized. The type species of each of the 15 subgenera was included in the analysis, as were any additional species available to us (Table 1, and see details in the table legend). Three species considered as incertae sedis by Ascher \& Pickering (2015) were also added to the analysis (Table 1): Coelioxys bertonii Schrottky, 1909, Coelioxys confusa Smith, 1875, and Coelioxys odin Strand, 1912. Species of the other two genera of the tribe Megachilini, Megachile, and Noteriades, were included as out-groups. Likewise, the other four tribes within the subfamily Megachilinae, as proposed by Gonzalez et al. (2012) - Anthidiini, Aspidosmiini, Dioxyini, and Osmiini - were represented by one species each (Table 1). Lastly, Ochreriades fasciata (Friese, 1899) was included because the position of this genus within the subfamily remains unclear (Praz et al., 2008). Lithurgopsis apicalis (Cresson, 1875) (Lithurginae) was used to root the tree.

## Characters

The sting apparatus of females as well as the hidden sterna and genital capsule of males were dissected and cleared in a $10 \% \mathrm{KOH}$ solution, and then stored in glycerine, except for females of Coelioxys weinlandi Schulz, 1904, Radoszkowskiana barrei (Radoszkowski, 1893), Radoszkowskiana gusevi Schwarz, 2001, and Radoszkowskiana tkalcui Schwarz, 2001, , because of the scarcity of individuals of those species in collections. The female of Coelioxys costaricensis Cockerell, 1914 was also not dissected because the only specimen available was the holotype (on loan from the USNM). Noteriades chapini (Cockerell, 1933) was represented only by the female.

In total, 239 morphological characters (numbered $0-238$ ) were scored for the 90 terminal taxa (see also Supporting Information, Table S2). Thirty-three characters used by Gonzalez et al. (2012) were included because they varied among our terminals; seven of them were modified as needed for the different suite of taxa we used (see comments on the relevant characters in the Character List). Characters considered diagnostic and/or used in taxonomic studies of Coelioxys by Pasteels (1968, 1977), Baker (1975), or Mitchell (1973) were added. Other characters are new and are based on the study of the morphology of our material. In order to avoid duplication, characters present in both sexes were coded only in the females because we did not have males of one of our terminals ( $N$. chapini). Characters that were not applicable were coded as missing
data (-), whereas question marks (?) were used when the male was absent or when females were not dissected, as noted above. Morphological terminology follows Mitchell (1973), with one exception: Mitchell (1973) used the term 'apical rim' for what we call the apical impressed area of the male sterna. The term midocellar area refers to the area immediately surrounding the mid ocellus and anteriorly to it. The following abbreviations were used: T, S, H, and F for metasomal terga, sterna, hemiterga, and flagellomeres, respectively. All measurements are in millimetres (mm).

## Images

Some of the morphological characters were illustrated using a Visionary Digital BK Plus imaging system using a Canon EOS 40D digital SLR camera. The photographs were then processed with Adobe PHOTOSHOP. The characteristics used in the key are shown in Figures 4-93. Figure 94 illustrates some of the less well-known characters/character states that were used in the phylogenetic analysis.

## PhYLOGENETIC ANALYSIS

Parsimony analyses were performed using equally weighted characters, implied weighting, and successive weighting with TNT1.1 (Goloboff, Farris \& Nixon, 2008b). Weighted analyses were performed because they reduce the impact of the more homoplasious characters on the results (Goloboff et al., 2008a), and we chose implied weighting (Goloboff, 1993) because it weights characters during tree search (Goloboff et al., 2008a). Tree searches were conducted using heuristic methods with a driven search and with default parameters, except as follows: we performed 10000 and 100000 replications, drift and ratchet (both with default parameters), random seed $=0$, saving ten trees per replicate, and not collapsing trees after search. Branch support was estimated by absolute group frequencies through Bootstrapping (Felsenstein, 1985) and by frequencygroup differences through symmetric resampling (Goloboff et al., 2003), with 10000 replicates. Bremer support values (Bremer, 1994; Goloboff \& Farris, 2001) were calculated using TNT.

WinClada 1.00.08 (Nixon, 2002) was used to map the characters for visual inspection, tree editing, and figure generation.

## CHARACTER LIST

## Female

0 . First flagellomere (F1): 0, at least $1.2 \times$ as long as second flagellomere (F2); 1, less than $1 \times$ but more
than $0.8 \times$ as long as F2; 2, at most $0.8 \times$ as long as F2.

1. Interantennal distance: 0 , equal to or shorter than antennocular distance; 1, longer than antennocular distance (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
2. Antennal socket with a distinct mesal process on upper half: 0 , absent; 1 , present (Gonzalez et al., 2012: fig. 5).
3. Compound eye: 0, bare (Fig. 4); 1, hairy (Fig. 5).
4. Compound eye pilosity: 0, sparse (as in Fig. 16); 1, dense (as in Fig. 5). Not applicable for taxa with bare eyes.
5. Ocular hairs: 0 , very short $(<0.07 \mathrm{~mm})$; 1 , short ( $0.07-0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); 2, long ( $\sim 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$ ); 3, very long ( $\sim 0.17 \mathrm{~mm}$ or longer). Not applicable for taxa with bare eyes.
6. Eye surface: 0, not or weakly convex ventrally (Fig. 76); 1, distinctly convex ventrally (Fig. 74).
7. Midocellar area sculpturing, anterior to and immediately surrounding mid ocellus: 0 , punctate or densely punctate throughout (Fig. 54); 1, densely punctate only around mid ocellus, rest impunctate (Fig. 52); 2, impunctate around mid ocellus and densely punctate elsewhere (Fig. 4); 3, sparsely punctate throughout (Fig. 53). In Lithurgopsis apicalis the impunctate area is only anterior to the mid ocellus, and thus it was coded 2. In Coelioxys alatiformis there are a few punctures anterior to the mid ocellus, but it was coded as 2 because the anterior area is clearly different in sculpture from the rest of the area around the midocellus.
8. Area around mid ocellus (carinate edge to swelling absent, unless stated otherwise): 0 , flat, not swollen (Fig. 54); 1, swollen around the entire circumference of mid ocellus (Fig. 53); 2, swollen only anteriorly (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 3G); 3, swollen only posteriorly (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 19B); 4, swollen around the entire circumference, with a carinate edge (Fig. 4).
9. Supraclypeal area: 0, raised above surrounding face but surface otherwise flat; 1 , elevated medially, forming a rounded ridge (Fig. 53); 2, elevated medially, forming a sharp keel at least apically (Fig. 54); 3, with a tubercle between antennal sockets; 4, not elevated or keeled (Fig. 54).
10. Apex of clypeus, hair fringe: 0 , absent; 1 , present (Fig. 48).
11. Clypeal surface: 0 , flat or nearly so (Fig. 54); 1, swollen or produced apically (Fig. 5); 2, apicoventrally reflexed (Fig. 48).
12. Clypeal pilosity density: 0 , disc densely covered with hairs, integument not visible or barely visible (Fig. 4); 1, disc not densely covered with hairs, with at least the integument of the medial area of the disc visible (Fig. 81).
13. Clypeal pilosity length: 0, disc with long, appressed/subappressed hairs or long, suberect hairs (Fig. 4); 1, disc with short/minute, appressed/subappressed hairs (Fig. 82).
14. Clypeal erect, sparse hairs: 0 , thick, erect hairs throughout the disc, contrasting with the appressed or subappressed hairs (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 7B); 1, thin, erect, long hairs throughout the disc, contrasting with appressed or subappressed hairs (Fig. 81); 2, without thick or long hairs (Fig. 48). In Coelioxys edita there are sparse suberect, short, plumose hairs, and thus it was coded as 2 .
15. Clypeus apical margin: 0 , simple or indented (Fig. 48); 1, emarginate (Fig. 5) or strongly indented; 2, convex. In Coelioxys zapoteca females the clypeus shape can vary from truncate to strongly bilobed (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015), hence this species was coded as polymorphic even though the female studied herein has the apical margin simple.
16. Clypeus medially with paired, longitudinal medial ridges: 0 , absent (Fig. 48); 1, present (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 19B).
17. Clypeus with dorsal carina medially: 0 , absent (Fig. 48); 1, present.
18. Ventral portion of hypostomal carina, near mandible: 0 , directed to medial margin of mandibular socket; 1, curving towards posterior margin of mandibular socket (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
19. Mandible, number of teeth: 0 , three or less; 1, more than three.
20. Mandible shape: 0 , modified, with distinct flange on outer surface (Baker, 1975: fig. 36C); 1, normal, lacking distinct flange (Figs 81, 82).
21. Mandible shape dorsally: 0 , straight, not conspicuously curved medially on outer surface (Baker, 1975: fig. 11B); 1, conspicuously curved medially on outer surface (Fig. 82); 2, angled medially on outer surface (Fig. 81).
22. Mandible with conspicuous, thick, long, erect hairs dorsally: 0, present (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 7B); 1, absent (Fig. 82).
23. Mandible pilosity with sparse, erect, long golden hairs dorsally: 0 , present (Fig. 82); 1, absent. These hairs are entirely different from those of the previous character.
24. Mandible dorsal surface: 0 , nearly bare or hairy only basally; 1, densely covered with hairs except subapically.
25. Mandible with outer premarginal impressed fimbria: 0 , reduced or absent; 1, present, distinct (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
26. Paraocular area: 0, covered predominantly with subappressed or erect hairs, underlying integument visible (Fig. 81); 1, conspicuously covered with
appressed hairs at least on lower margin where integument not visible beneath hairs (Fig. 5).
27. Pilosity of punctures on vertex: 0 , with only long suberect hairs, appressed plumose or scalelike hairs absent (Fig. 52); 1, a mixture of appressed plumose and long suberect hairs; 2, a mixture of appressed scale-like hairs and long suberect hairs (Fig. 32).
28. Vertex: 0, coarsely and densely punctate, no flat interspaces between punctures; 1, punctate, flat interspaces between punctures shorter than puncture diameters (Fig. 52); 2, shining, sparsely punctate, interspaces greater than puncture diameters (Fig. 53).
29. Ocellocular distance: 0 , clearly shorter than ocelloccipital distance (Fig. 32); 1, subequal to ocelloccipital distance; 2, clearly longer than ocelloccipital distance (Fig. 53).
30. Preoccipital carina: 0 , absent; 1, present (Fig. 32) (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
31. Preoccipital carina interrupted on vertex: 0, no (Fig. 32); 1, yes (Gonzalez et al., 2012; modified). Not applicable for taxa without a carina.
32. Omaulus: 0, absent, lateral and anterior surfaces of mesopleuron evenly rounded onto each other; 1, present, angulate, carinate, or lamellate (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
33. Pronotal tubercle: 0, nearly straight, not forming distinct lobes (Fig. 31); 1, emarginate, forming two distinct lobes (Fig. 73).
34. Outer margin of pronotal lobe: 0 , carinate or lamellate (Fig. 31); 1, distinctly rounded, not carinate (Fig. 30).
35. Carina of pronotal tubercle: 0, conspicuously elevated, forming a distinct lamella (Figs 31, 73); 1, sharply carinate but not forming lamella, distinct except normally hidden by long hairs (Fig. 75). Not applicable for taxa with a rounded outer margin of pronotal lobe.
36. Fascia on anterior margin of mesoscutum: 0, absent or inconspicuous (Fig. 83); 1, conspicuous, not interrupted medially (Fig. 51); 2, conspicuous, interrupted medially (Fig. 6).
37. Fascia on anterior margin of mesoscutum, pilosity: 0, elongate and/or plumose hairs (Fig. 51); 1, scale-like or elliptical hairs (Fig. 6). Not applicable for taxa without fascia or with an inconspicuous fascia.
38. Fascia on anterior margin of mesoscutum, shape: 0, extending towards the mesoscutal disc medially (Fig. 6); 1, fascia nearly straight, not extending towards mesoscutal disc (Fig. 51). Only applicable for taxa with a conspicuous fascia.
39. Medial area of mesoscutum: 0 , not swollen (Fig. 55); 1, conspicuously swollen (Fig. 58).
40. Mesoscutal sculpturing: 0 , coarsely and densely punctate, no interspaces between punctures or interspaces clearly shorter than puncture diameters (Fig. 7); 1, densely punctate, interspaces between punctures subequal to or longer than puncture diameters (Fig. 51); 2, sparsely punctate, interspaces between punctures much larger than puncture diameters (Fig. 50).
41. Mesoscutal punctures: 0, punctures of the same size throughout (Fig. 7), 1, punctures larger towards the lateral margins, smaller on disc (Fig. 50).
42. Mesoscutum with carina medioposteriorly: 0 , strong, carina extending from apex to midlength of mesoscutum (Fig. 11); 1, weak, a rounded elevated area not attaining midlength of mesoscutum; 2, not carinate (Fig. 50).
43. Mesosomal pilosity: 0, long, erect hairs, without spots of appressed hairs (Figs 30, 83); 1, short, subappressed hairs, without spots of appressed hairs; 2, short, subappressed hairs as well as spots of appressed hairs (Fig. 50); 3, long, erect hairs as well as spots of appressed hairs; 4, bare.
44. Pubescence of body: 0, predominantly hairs (Fig. 39); 1, with both scale-like hairs and hairs abundant; 2 , predominantly scale-like hairs.
45. Preaxilla: 0, sloping, with hairs (Gonzalez et al., 2012: fig. 10); 1, vertical, usually hairless (Gonzalez et al., 2012: fig. 11).
46. Mesoscutellum: 0 , not produced over metanotum and propodeum (Fig. 71); 1, produced over metanotum and propodeum (Figs 35, 50).
47. Mesoscutellar pilosity anteriorly: 0, bare or nearly so, lacking appressed hairs (Fig. 71), 1, hairs forming two separate distinct patches (Figs 50, 85); 2, hairs forming an uninterrupted fascia (Figs 51, 69).
48. Posterior margin of mesoscutellum medially: 0, not emarginate (Fig. 51); 1, emarginate (Fig. 35).
49. Posterior margin of mesoscutellum: 0 , not produced medially (Fig. 71); 1, produced medially (Figs 35, 42, 50, 51, 69).
50. Posterior margin of mesoscutellum, median process: 0, blunt (Fig. 51); 1, tooth-like (Fig. 69); 2, apex of process emarginate (Fig. 35). Not applicable for taxa without a convex posterior margin to the mesoscutellum.
51. Tooth-like process on mesoscutellum, orientation: 0, horizontal (Fig. 69); 1, dorsally oriented. Not applicable for taxa without a process.
52. Tooth-like process on mesoscutellum: 0 , dorsoventrally flattened; 1, not dorsoventrally flattened, thick, robust (Fig. 69). Not applicable for taxa without a tooth.
53. Mesoscutellum with medial longitudinal ridge, carina, or weakly raised line: 0 , present (Figs 51, 69); 1, absent (Fig. 50).
54. Mesoscutellum, medial dorsal surface: 0 , indistinctly raised (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 5C); 1, swollen (Fig. 51); 2, keeled (Fig. 69). Not applicable for taxa with a flat dorsal surface.
55. Mesoscutellum, length of dorsal raised portion: 0 , weakly present only basally; 1 , strong, sharp, but only basally (Fig. 11); 2, strong, complete (Fig. 69). Not applicable for taxa with a flat dorsal surface.
56. Dorsal and superior surfaces of mesoscutellum: 0 , fully separated by a distinct subcarinate, somewhat sharp, nearly impunctate edge, forming a distinct acute angle (Fig. 50); 1, separated by an indistinct subcarinate edge, forming an obtuse angle, the edge at least sparsely punctate (Fig. 51); 2, surfaces not separated, continuously curving in profile (Fig. 71). In spite of being punctate to some extent in Coelioxys praetextata, C.barbata, C. paraguayensis, and both Noteriades species, this character was coded as 0 in these taxa because of the distinct subcarinate edge between dorsal and superior surfaces of the mesoscutellum.
57. Mesoscutellum medioposteriorly: 0 , slightly to strongly depressed; 1, flat or convex. In Coelioxys alternata the subcarinate edge gives the impression that the area anterior to it is depressed; however, it is not the same depressed area as observed in C. odin and Coelioxys reginae Cockerell, 1905, and thus this species was coded as 1 .
58. Mesoscutellum posteriorly: 0, with subappressed or appressed hairs (Fig. 50); 1, without subappressed or appressed hairs.
59. Metanotum towards base: 0 , produced into a tooth-like process; 1, conspicuously expanded, flat (Fig. 11); 2, not produced or expanded (Fig. 50).
60. Metanotum medially: 0 , lacking appressed or scale-like hairs; 1, with appressed hairs at least medially (Fig. 50); 2, with scale-like hairs at least medially.
61. Axilla: 0, poorly defined, posterior margin of mesoscutellum evenly convex (Michener, 2007: fig. 84-9); 1, well defined, margin between axilla and posterior margin of mesoscutellum concave to angularly incised (Figs 6, 7, 50, 51, 69).
62. Axilla, angle between free apical portion and posterior margin of mesoscutellum: 0 , obtuse (Schwarz, 2001; Abb. 5); 1, acute (Figs 6, 7, $50,51,69)$. Not applicable for taxa with a poorly defined axilla.
63. Axilla, length of free apical portion in relation to basal potion: 0 , long, free apical portion produced up to or beyond the posterior tangent of the mesoscutellum (Fig. 6); 1, short, not attaining
the posterior tangent of the mesoscutellum (Fig. 7). Not applicable for state 0 in previous character.
64. Axilla, free apical portion length: 0 , longer than or subequal to the basal portion (Figs 51, 69); 1, shorter than the basal portion (Figs 35, 69). Not applicable for state 0 in character 62.
65. Axillae, orientation: 0, subparallel with one another (Fig. 85); 1, curved apicomedially (Fig. 83); 2, divergent from one another (Fig. 69). Not applicable for state 0 in character 62.
66. Free apical portion of axilla and posterior margin of mesoscutellum: 0 , forming a conspicuously broad, rounded area (Fig. 50); 1, not forming a broad, rounded area (Fig. 69). Not applicable for state 0 in character 62.
67. Axilla, laterally: 0, not carinate (Fig. 7); 1, with a conspicuously raised carina (Fig. 6).
68. Forewing colour: 0, entirely dark, infuscate; 1 , subhyaline on the basal third, infuscate or subinfuscate on the apical two-thirds; 2, subhyaline throughout.
69. Jugal lobe of hindwing: 0 , long, half or more vannal lobe length; 1 , short, less than half but more than one-quarter vannal lobe length; 2, very short, less than one-quarter vannal lobe length (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
70. Length of second submarginal cell on posterior margin: 0 , equal to or longer than first submarginal cell; 1, shorter than first submarginal cell (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
71. Stigma: 0, longer than broad, length beyond vein $r$ at least half as long as margin basal to vein $r$, margin within marginal cell convex or sometimes straight; 1, longer than broad, length beyond vein $r$ less than half as long as margin basal to vein r , margin within marginal cell concave; 2 , not longer than broad, almost parallel-sided, margin with marginal cell straight to concave (Gonzalez et al., 2012; modified).
72. Vein $2 \mathrm{~m}-\mathrm{cu}$, second recurrent vein: 0 , distinctly diagonal, strongly or gently curved before meeting with $2 \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{m}$; 1, straight, or nearly so, for entire length (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
73. Propodeal triangle with integument sculpture: 0 , largely smooth and shiny; 1 , dull, lineolate, imbricate, minutely punctate (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
74. Propodeal pit: 0 , rounded or elongate; 1, linear (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
75. Outer surfaces of fore and mid tibiae apically with acute angle and distinct notch anteriorly: 0, absent; 1, present (Gonzalez et al., 2012: fig. 14).
76. Fore and middle tibiae, medial spine: 0 , long, at least $2.5 \times$ longer than basal breadth; 1 , short, at most $2 \times$ longer than basal breadth.
77. Fore and middle tibiae, medial and anterior spines: 0 , parallel with each other and of similar orientation and length; 1, subparallel and of similar shape, but anterior spine longer than medial spine; 2, divergent from one another, with medial spine much longer than anterior spine. Not applicable for taxa without distinct notch on outer surfaces of fore and mid tibiae.
78. Fore and middle femora: 0, each with two strong but irregular longitudinal carinae and densely hairy; 1, without two strong irregular carinae and not densely hairy.
79. Fore coxa: 0, lacking spine-like process (Fig. 20); 1, process very short, obsolescent, somewhat rounded or flattened; 2, process short, toothlike, flattened (Fig. 16); 3, process very long, flattened.
80. Ventral surface of mesepisternum between mid and hind coxa: 0, bare and deeply emarginate, forming two distinct, parallel, elongate lobes; 1, inconspicuously hairy and deeply emarginate, forming two distinct, divergent lobes; 2, densely hairy, not emarginate or forming lobes.
81. Hind coxa with ventral carina: 0 , absent; 1 , present (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
82. Hind basitarsus, anterior margin: 0, straight or weakly serrate; 1 , conspicuously serrate.
83. Hind basitarsus with flattened tooth-like process: 0 , absent; 1 , present.
84. Hind tibia apicomedial spine: 0 , absent; 1 , short, inconspicuous, flat, rounded apically; 2, short, conspicuous, acute apically; 3, elongate, cylindrical, subacute apically; 4, very long, more or less flattened, acute apically.
85. Hind tibia apicomedial spine: 0 , forked; 1 , not forked. Not applicable for taxa without medial spine.
86. Hind tibia: posterior spine: 0 , absent; 1 , short, rounded apically; 2, long, acute apically.
87. Arolia: 0, present; 1, absent (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
88. Pretarsal claw: 0, bifid, inner and outer rami separated by narrow, acute cleft; 1, bifurcate, inner and outer rami separated by broad concavity (Fig. 36); 2, lacking inner ramus (Fig. 82).
89. Sternal apical fasciae: 0 , absent; 1 , present, interrupted medially; 2, complete. In Coelioxys weinlandi this character was coded as 2 (although the fascia on S 4 is interrupted medially it is complete on the other sterna).
90. Sterna medially: 0, carinate or at least with a discrete longitudinal ridge; 1 , not carinate or elevated.
91. S1 basomedially: 0, conspicuously and acutely produced (Fig. 70); 1, swollen, not acutely produced (Fig. 72); 2, keeled; 3, unmodified, flat.
92. S5 apical margin: 0, entire (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 5D); 1, emarginated, at least weakly (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 9F).
93. S5 apex with fringe of hairs: 0 , absent (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 5D); 1, present (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 9F).
94. S5 shape: 0, not expanded towards apex (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 5D); 1, greatly expanded towards apex, margins straight, ventral surface convex, apex broad and truncate (Warncke, 1992; Abb. 5); 2, greatly expanded towards apex, margins a bit swollen and shining, ventral surface concave, apex ellipsoid (Fig. 44).
95. S5 shape towards apex: 0 , greatly elongate, tapering, conspicuously triangular (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 5D); 1, greatly elongate, elliptical or trapezoidal, not triangular (Fig. 44); 2, elongate, not triangular, elliptical or trapezoidal, more evenly convex; 3, not elongate, rectangular.
96. S5 apex: 0, thickened (Fig. 17); 1, not thickened (Fig. 21).
97. S5 hairs: 0, absent or with an inconspicuous row of short erect hairs on the lateral margins; 1, erect, thick, forming a distinct row on lateral margins; 2, very long, erect, forming a row on the lateral margins and apex.
98. T1 concavity, margin: 0 , carinate, carina extended at least to the base of the lateral margins of the tergum (Fig. 42); 1, carinate, carina inconspicuous, not extending to the base of the lateral margins of the tergum, and interrupted medially; 2, not carinate (Fig. 43).
99. T1 concavity, surface: 0 , densely covered with appressed hairs; 1, not densely covered with appressed hairs (Figs 42, 43).
100. T1 posterior margin to basal concavity: 0 , elevated; 1 , not elevated.
101. T1 lateral hair bands: 0 , absent; 1 , present (Figs 88, 90).
102. T1 lateral hair bands: 0, appressed hairs, forming at least narrow longitudinal bands (Fig. 90); 1, bands composed of subappressed or suberect hairs, at least basally. Not applicable for taxa without lateral bands.
103. T1 medially with discrete longitudinal sulcus: 0 , present; 1 , absent.
104. Fascia on concavity of T1: 0 , absent (sometimes with sparse long, erect hairs not forming a fascia); 1, present and entire, distinct, composed of long or short, suberect hairs (Fig. 43); 2, present but broadly interrupted, distinct, composed of subappressed or appressed hairs (Fig. 42).
105. T2-T5 apical fasciae composed of appressed or subappressed hairs: 0, complete (Fig. 84); 1, sparse medially, narrowly interrupted, broader laterally; 2, present only laterally (Fig. 86);

3, lacking fasciae. This character was coded as 2 in Noteriades chapini based upon the fasciae of T2-T3 (they are absent on T4-T5).
106. Apical fascia of T1: 0 , nearly absent, restricted to lateral margins of tergum (Fig. 86); 1, complete. Not applicable for taxa lacking tergal fasciae.
107. Apical fasciae of T2-T5, hairs: 0, very thin, long, plumose, suberect; 1, thin, elongate, appressed, feather-like (Fig. 24); 2, elliptical, appressed, scalelike (Fig. 25). Not applicable for taxa lacking tergal fasciae.
108. T2-T5 with distinct depressed marginal zones: 0 , absent; 1 , present (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
109. Gradulus of T2: 0, bowed posteriorly; 1, transverse (Fig. 24).
110. Postgradular groove of T2: 0, complete (Fig. 24); 1, narrowly interrupted medially; 2, broadly interrupted medially (Figs 25, 84, 86); 3, indistinct.
111. Postgradular groove of T3: 0 , complete (Fig. 24); 1, narrowly interrupted medially; 2, broadly interrupted medially (Fig. 25); 3, indistinct throughout. Note that although this character appears identical to the previous one other than it being from the succeeding tergum, the distribution of states among taxa differs.
112. Metasoma shape: 0 , ovoid, cylindrical (Michener, 2007: fig. 84-9); 1, conic, tapering towards apex (Michener, 2007: fig. 84-2).
113. Metasomal sternal scopa: 0 , absent; 1, present (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
114. T6 in profile, dorsal surface: 0 , horizontal or subhorizontal; 1, sinuate, mostly vertical (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
115. T6, lateral margin in dorsal view: 0 , angulate near midlength (Fig. 9); 1, not angulate near midlength (Figs 12, 26).
116. T6 shape in dorsal view: 0 , gradually tapering towards apex (Figs 12, 26); 1, abruptly tapering subapically, forming a broad apical process (Fig. 17); 2, broad, rounded. Lithurgopsis apicalis was considered abruptly tapering because of the pygidial plate.
117. T6 apex: 0 , never ending in a spine-like process or tooth (Fig. 26); 1, ending in a short, rounded tooth (Figs 12, 46); 2, ending in a long spine-like process (Fig. 9). Lithurgopsis apicalis was coded as inapplicable because of the pygidial plate.
118. T6 subapically: 0, with long, thick, erect hairs (Figs 9, 46); 1, without long, thick, erect hairs (Figs 12, 26).
119. T6 apex: 0 , not emarginate (Figs 12, 26); 1, emarginate.
120. T6 apex shape: 0 , truncate, wide; 1 , convex, rounded or trapezoidal, wide; 2, ovoid, tapering towards the apex; 3, subacute, elongate, at most
$1.25 \times$ longer than broad (Fig. 26); 4, subacute or acute, greatly elongate, at least $1.75 \times$ longer than broad (Fig. 12); 5, spinose (Fig. 9). Lithurgopsis apicalis was coded as 3 because of the pygidial plate medially.
121. T6 lateral carinae: 0 , absent (Figs 67, 79); 1, present, not expanded or barely expanded (Fig. 44); 2, present, very expanded with regular margins (Figs 9, 33); 3, present, very expanded with irregular margins. Despite the existence of carinate margins of the pygidial plate in L. apicalis this species was coded as 0 for this character as it seems unlikely that these carinae are homologous to the lateral carinae observed in some Coelioxys species.
122. T6 sulci: 0, absent (Fig. 67); 1, present (Fig. 40).
123. T6 sulci length: 0 , incomplete, not reaching the apex (Fig. 38); 1, complete, reaching the apex but not uniting (Fig. 40); 2, complete reaching the apex where they fuse. Not applicable for taxa without sulci.
124. T6 sulci pilosity: 0 , bare or covered with plumose appressed hairs only basally (Fig. 89); 1, conspicuously covered with plumose appressed hairs throughout (Fig. 40). Not applicable for taxa without sulci.
125. T6 dorsal carina: 0, absent (Fig. 33); 1, present (Figs 38, 40).
126. T6 dorsal carina orientation: 0 , longitudinal (Figs 38, 40); 1, transverse. Not applicable for taxa without dorsal carina.
127. T6 dorsal carina length: 0 , at least twothirds the length of T6 (Fig. 89); 1, less than twothirds the length of T6 (Fig. 77). Coelioxys caudata was coded as 0 , although the carina is not complete at the apex. Not applicable for taxa without a dorsal carina.
128. T6 dorsal carina apically: 0 , obsolescent, inconspicuously elevated (Fig. 38); 1, conspicuous, elevated and rounded or elevated and flattened (Fig. 67); 2, inconspicuously carinate, carina not sharply elevated (Fig. 65); 3, conspicuously keeled, keel sharply elevated (Fig. 40). In Coelioxys argentea Lepeletier, 1841 there is a very short, sharp carina restricted to the apex, and thus it was considered as 0 . Not applicable for taxa without a dorsal carina.
129. T6 dorsal carina laterally: 0 , hairy, even if only inconspicuously (Figs 9, 46); 1, bare (Figs 12, 44). Not applicable for taxa without a dorsal carina.
130. T6 depressions: 0 , deep, conspicuous (Fig. 46); 1, shallow (Figs 9, 77, 79); 2, absent (Figs 65, 67).
131. Pregradular area of S6: 0 , not elongate laterally, convexity broader than long (Gonzalez et al., 2012: fig. 18); 1, elongate laterally, convexity longer than broad (Gonzalez et al., 2012: figs 19, 20).
132. Apodeme of S6: 0 , with distinct disc between marginal ridge and transapodemal ridge (Gonzalez et al., 2012: fig. 18); 1, with disc reduced or absent (Gonzalez et al., 2012: figs 19, 20).
133. S6 basal margin concavity: 0 , deep, $\leq 2 \times$ wider than deep (Gonzalez et al., 2012: figs 19, 20); 1 , shallow, $\geq 2.1 \times$ wider than deep (Gonzalez et al., 2012: fig. 18).
134. S6 apical margin: 0, not emarginate; 1, weakly emarginate; 2 , strongly emarginate.
135. S6 medioventrally: 0, keeled at least apically (Fig. 91); 1, not keeled (Fig. 87).
136. S6 lateral margins subapically: 0 , gradually tapering (Figs 26, 38); 1, abruptly tapering (Figs 14, 28); 2, broad, rounded, not tapering. Lithurgopsis apicalis was coded as 1 because S 6 is slightly folded medially.
137. S6 margin with expanded area attaining dorsal carina: 0, present (Figs 14, 26, 28, 38); 1, absent (Fig. 12).
138. S6, expanded lateral area: 0 , serrate only subapically; 1, weakly serrate throughout (Fig. 65); 2, lacking serrations (Figs 26, 28). Not applicable for taxa without expanded area attaining S6 dorsal carina.
139. S6 marginal pilosity: 0 , at most a few minute hairs, not forming a conspicuous fringe (Figs 26, 28); 1, forming an inconspicuous marginal fringe of sparse, short or sparse, long hairs (Fig. 12); 2, a conspicuous marginal fringe of dense, short hairs (Figs 9, 46); 3, conspicuously fringed with long, thick hairs.
140. S6 orientation: 0, horizontal (Fig. 9); 1, slightly flexed downwards (Fig. 17); 2, strongly flexed downwards (Fig. 21).
141. S6 dorsolateral margin: 0, with a conspicuous, long hook; 1 , with a short hook; 2 , with an obsolescent hook; 3, lacking a hook.
142. S6 shape apically: 0 , broad and rounded; 1 , ovoid, gradually tapering (Figs 33, 89); 2, trapezoidal, gradually tapering, apex weakly produced medially (Fig. 87); 3, rounded but abruptly narrowed medially (Figs 9, 14, 28); 4, triangular, gradually tapering towards apex, apex very acute, pointed (Baker, 1975: figs 42A, 42B); 5, elongate and subacute, at most $1.50 \times$ longer than broad (Figs 26, 38); 6 , greatly elongate, subacute or acute apically, at least $1.75 \times$ longer than broad (Fig. 12). Lithurgopsis apicalis was coded as 3 because the S 6 is slightly folded medially. In Coelioxys argentea the apex is not subacute but it is conspicuously folded, so it was coded as 6.
143. S6 notches: 0 , absent (Figs 9, 12, 28, 46); 1, obtuse, clearly visible in dorsal view (Fig. 14); 2, a weak impression, not interrupting outline in dorsal view (Figs 38, 65); 3, acute, triangular, clearly visible
in dorsal view (Figs 26, 67, 77, 79). In Coelioxys aperta the subapical region approaches state 3 but the margins of the notch are rounded, and thus this species was coded as 1 .
144. S6 notches and lateral flattened area: 0, separated by an indistinct triangular area (Fig. 38); 1, separated by a conspicuous triangular area (Fig. 79); 2, separated by a broadly rounded area (Fig. 77). Not applicable for taxa without notches.
145. Hemitergite 7 (H7), apodemal region: 0, not at an angle to rest of H7 (Fig. 94A; apodemal region indicated by black arrow); 1, at an angle to rest of H7 (Figs 94B, C; apodemal region indicated by black arrow).
146. H7, lateral portion of marginal ridge: 0 , strongly developed (Fig. 94A); 1, evanescent (Fig. 94C).
147. H7, medial portion of marginal ridge: 0 , strongly developed; 1, evanescent (Fig. 94A).
148. Medial and lateral portions of marginal ridge of H7: 0 , not parallel with each other or convergent posteriorly; 1, parallel or subparallel with each other (Fig. 94A) (Gonzalez et al., 2012; modified).
149. Apodemal region of H7: 0, narrow, subacute (Fig. 94A); 1, wider, rounded or quadrate (Fig. 94B).
150. Lateral process of H7: 0, long (Fig. 94A; lateral process indicated by red arrow): 1, short or reduced, almost absent or absent (at most as long as in Fig. 94B; lateral process indicated by red arrow).
151. H7 with strong protrusion on the lamina spicularis, near base of lateral process: 0 , absent; 1, present, but inconspicuous (Fig. 94A); 2, present, distinct (Fig. 94B) (Gonzalez et al., 2012; modified).
152. H7 spiracle: 0, large, more than one-third length of lamina spiracularis (Fig. 94B); 1, moderate in size, more than one-quarter but less than onethird length of lamina spiracularis (Fig. 94C); 2, short, less than one-quarter length of lamina spiracularis (Fig. 94A).
153. H7 location of entire spiracle: 0 , in the basal two-thirds of H7; 1, at or near the apical third of H7 (Fig. 94A) (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
154. H7 lateral lamella length: 0 , clearly longer than lamina spiracularis (Fig. 94A); 1, approximately as long as lamina spiracularis; 2, clearly shorter than lamina spiracularis.
155. H7 lateral lamella, posteriorly: 0 , with a pointed process; 1, entire, without a process (Fig. 94A).
156. H8, posterior expansion: 0 , narrow, apex acutely pointed (Fig. 94D): 1, tapering, triangular, subacute apically (Fig. 94E); 2, distinctly convex but
not angulate (Fig. 94F); 3, inconspicuously rounded to transverse.
157. H8 carina: 0 , conspicuous, strong; 1 , evanescent (Fig. 94E).
158. Furcula dorsal arm: 0, conspicuously flattened laterally on apex (Fig. 94F, apex of dorsal arm indicated by red arrow); 1 , not flattened.
159. Sting shaft compared with gonostylus: 0 , equal to or shorter than $2 \times$ gonostylus length; 1 , longer than $2 \times$ but shorter than $3 \times$ gonostylus length; 2 , much longer than $3.5 \times$ gonostylus length.
160. Apex of gonostylus, pubescence: 0 , nearly hairless to sparsely covered with short hairs (hairs $\leq$ maximum gonostylus width in lateral view); 1 , densely covered with long plumose hairs (hairs $\geq 1.2 \times$ gonostylar width) (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
161. Gonostylus apically: 0, broadened; 1, not broadened.

## Male

162. Pubescence of face: 0 , copious and elongate, erect; 1, copious and short, appressed or subappressed.
163. Genal surface: 0, not excavated (Fig. 15); 1, excavated along posterior margin of eye (Figs 39, 41).
164. Genal pilosity: 0, suberect or erect (Fig. 15); 1, appressed (Figs 39, 41).
165. Hypostomal area: 0, lacking concavity or distinct flat, hairless plate (Fig. 18); 1, concavity present (Fig. 15); 2, with a distinct, flat, hairless plate that is not raised above surrounding surface (Fig. 22); 3, with a distinct, flat, hairless plate that is raised above the surrounding surface. In Coelioxys alternata, C. apacheorum, and C. hunteri the hypostomal concavity is inconspicuous but nonetheless present, and so these were scored as 1.
166. Hypostomal concavity surface: 0 , shallow (Fig. 39); 1, deep (Fig. 15). Not applicable for taxa without concavity.
167. Hypostomal concavity length: 0 , less than half eye length (Fig. 15); 1, longer than or equal to half eye length (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 7E). Not applicable for taxa without concavity.
168. Posterior margin of hypostomal concavity: 0 , without long or apically curved hairs (Fig. 22); 1 , with conspicuous, long hairs that are not curved apically (Fig. 15); 2, with hairs conspicuously curved apically (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 7E). Not applicable for taxa without concavity.
169. Hypostomal concavity pilosity: 0 , hairy throughout (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 1F); 1, bare at least on the posterior margin (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 7E). Not applicable for taxa without concavity.
170. Fore coxa with spine-like process: 0, absent; 1, short; 2, long (Gonzalez et al., 2012;
modified). This is similar in some ways to character 79 in females, but the state distributions differ between the sexes in numerous taxa.
171. Forecoxal spine, shape: 0, thick, swollen, conical to rounded, not elongate; 1, elongate and cylindrical; 2 , elongate and flattened. Not applicable for taxa without spine.
172. Apical fasciae of T2-T5: 0, complete (Fig. 29); 1, weak or interrupted medially (Figs 66, 68); 2, absent. In Coelioxys slossoni, C. cayennensis, and C. cerasiopleura the fasciae are interrupted on T4-T5, but the character was coded as 0 for these species.
173. Postgradular area of T2: 0 , punctate area or fovea absent; 1 , with a more or less transverse, punctate area only (Figs 29, 66, and 68); 2, with a more or less transverse, punctate area and a fovea (Figs 27, 78, 88, 90); 3, only foveate (Fig. 45).
174. Postgradular area of T2, punctate area: 0 , punctures of the densely punctate area irregular and smaller than the adjacent punctures on the disc; 1 , punctures of the densely punctate areas smaller than the adjacent punctures of the disc and sparse; 2 , punctures of the densely punctate area smaller than the punctures of the disc and arranged in a broad, rectangular area posteriorly to the gradulus (Fig. 29); 3, punctures of the densely punctate areas smaller than the adjacent punctures of the disc and arranged in a slender, transverse area; 4, punctures of the densely punctate areas smaller than the punctures of the disc and arranged in a circular to elliptical area (Figs 66, 68); 5, punctures of the same size as those of the adjacent punctures and arranged randomly on the disc in a more or less transverse row (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 1G). Not applicable for taxa without punctate area or fovea.
175. Postgradular area of T2, fovea: 0 , shallow, circular, hairy fovea composed of small punctures with small punctures on adjacent disc; 1, a transverse, shallow, hairless fovea composed of small punctures with punctures posteriorly to the fovea or a deep, transverse, hairless fovea not composed of punctures with punctures posteriorly to the fovea (Figs 27, 88); 2, a very slender, deep fovea not composed of punctures but with punctures posteriorly to the fovea (Baker, 1975: fig. 25C); 3, an inconspicuous, minute, circular, hairy fovea with a densely punctate area posteriorly to it (Fig. 78); 4, a small, circular, hairless fovea not surrounded by punctures; 5 , an elliptical, small, deep fovea covered with minute hairs and not surrounded by punctures (Fig. 45). Not applicable for taxa without punctate area or fovea.
176. Foveal or punctate area of T2: 0 , without impunctate area (Fig. 29); 1, with an irregular, small, impunctate area posteriorly to the punctate
area; 2, with a slender, impunctate area at least posteriorly to the punctate area or fovea (Fig. 27); 3, punctate area or fovea surrounded by an impunctate area (Fig. 45); 4, fovea surrounded by an impunctate, elevated area (Fig. 78). Not applicable for taxa without punctate area or fovea.
177. Postgradular area of T3: 0 , punctate area or fovea absent (Fig. 29); 1, with a more or less transverse, punctate area only (Figs 66, 68); 2, with a more or less transverse, punctate area and a fovea (Fig. 78); 3, only foveate (Fig. 45). This is similar to character 175 , but the state distributions differ in numerous taxa.
178. Postgradular area of T3, punctate areas/foveae: 0, punctures of the same size as those of the adjacent disc and arranged randomly on the disc in a more or less transverse row (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 1G); 1, punctures of the punctate areas smaller than, or of the same size, as those on the disc and arranged in a circular to elliptical area (Figs 66, 68); 2, a circular, shallow fovea composed of punctures smaller than the adjacent punctures on the disc and surrounded by punctures forming a more or less elliptical area; 3, a circular, deep, conspicuous fovea composed of punctures smaller than the adjacent punctures of the disc and surrounded by punctures forming a more or less elliptical area (Fig. 80); 4, a small, slender fovea surrounded by an elevated, impunctate area with a depressed, coarsely punctate area posteriorly to the fovea (Fig. 78); 5, a small, circular fovea surrounded by an impunctate area (Fig. 45). Not applicable for taxa without punctate area or fovea.
179. Gradular grooves of T3-T6: 0 , not fasciate or not conspicuously fasciate, fasciae at most narrow and only on T3 or T4 to T6 (Fig. 66); 1, conspicuously fasciate, fasciae increasing in width from T2 or T3 to T6 (Fig. 68).
180. Sternal pilosity, at least on S2-S3: 0, conspicuous, hairs plumose/scale-like medially and covering the surface; 1, disc lacking scale-like/plumose hairs but with long, erect hairs. In Aspidosmia volkmanni there are some erect hairs and tufts apicomedially on S2-S3, but the character was coded as 1 . In Coelioxys texana the sterna are covered with small scale-like hairs but very sparsely so, and thus was scored as 1 . In C. echinata the sterna are hairy only on S2 and inconspicuously on S3, and thus was scored as 1. Coelioxys nasuta is hairy basally and apically below the fasciae on S2-S3, not medially, and thus was scored as 1 .
181. S3 subapicomedially: 0, flat (Fig. 64); 1, shallowly concave medially and hairy; 2, conspicuously sulcate and hairy, sulcus deep and narrow.
182. S4 medially: 0, flat and glabrous (Figs 49, $62,64)$; 1 , sulcate and hairy. Coelioxys chilensis is
conspicuously sulcate here but not hairy, so this species was coded as 1 .
183. S4 apicomedially: 0, flat (Fig. 62); 1, canaliculate (Figs 49, 64).
184. Apical rim of S4: 0 , flexed ventrally (Fig. 93); 1, on same plane as disc (Figs 49, 64).
185. Apical rim of S4: 0, entire (Figs 49, 64); 1, slightly emarginate, width of concavity more than $3 \times$ longer than depth; 2 , emarginate, width of concavity less than $2 \times$ longer than depth; 3, deeply emarginate, arms forming a U-shaped process (Fig. 93).
186. Apical margin of $S 4$ junction between disc and apical rim: 0 , entire (Fig. 62); 1, somewhat emarginated, but not in a U-shaped process (Fig. 93); 2, deeply emarginate, forming a U-shaped process (Figs 49, 64).
187. U-shaped emargination of S4: 0, apical margin produced into two robust, broad arms, broadly separated from one another, the distance between them more than half their length; 1, arms flattened, elongate, and separated from one another, with the distance between them more than half their length (Fig. 64); 2, arms robust, thick, and separated from one another, with the distance between them more than half their length (Fig. 49); 3, arms elongate, sharply elevated, and close to one another, with the distance between them equal to half their length. Not applicable for taxa without U-shaped process.
188. T5 lateral spines: 0 , absent (Fig. 13); 1, very short, broader than wide (Fig. 61); 2, intermediate in length, at least as long as wide but not reaching the apex of the apical rim (Fig. 63); 3, long, reaching the apex of the apical rim.
189. T5 lateral spines and surface of tergum: 0 , not separated from the surface of the tergum apically (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 1H); 1, separated from the surface of the tergum apically (Fig. 63). Not applicable for taxa without lateral spines.
190. T6 apicodorsal process(es): 0, absent; 1, forming a transverse irregular crenulate plate (Schwarz, 2001; abb. 27, 35; Michener, 2007: fig. 84-14a); 2, two dorsal process (Figs 13, 19, 23, 61, 63).
191. Apicodorsal processes of T6, shape: 0 , cylindrical (Michener, 2007: fig. 84-18b); 1, flattened (Figs 13, 19, 23, 61, 63). Not applicable for taxa without apicodorsal processes.
192. Apicodorsal processes of T6, orientation: 0, slanting (Fig. 13); 1, horizontal (Figs 61, 63); 2, strongly curved downwards (Michener, 2007: fig. 8418b). Not applicable for taxa without apicodorsal processes.
193. T6 laterobasal teeth: 0 , absent (Michener, 2007: fig. 84-6g); 1, very short, flat, almost vestigial (Fig. 13); 2, elongate and flattened (Figs 19, 23); 3,
elongate and cylindrical, acutely pointed (Figs 61, 63). In Radoszkowskiana gusevi, R. tkalcui, and Coelioxys ducalis there is an inconspicuously enlarged area, not forming a tooth, and thus these were scored as 1 .
194. T6 apex of apicodorsal process: 0 , forked (Fig. 23); 1, not forked (Figs 19, 61, 63). Not applicable for taxa without apicodorsal processes.
195. T6 apex of apicodorsal process: 0, pointed (Figs 10, 61); 1, rounded (Figs 13, 63); 2, broadly rounded (Baker, 1975: fig. 38H). Not applicable for taxa without apicodorsal processes or with forked apicodorsal processes.
196. T6 space between apicodorsal processes: 0 , without a tooth (Figs 61, 63); 1, with an obsolescent tooth (Fig. 23); 2, with a conspicuous tooth.
197. T6 ventral processes: 0, absent; 1, present, flattened; 2, present, cylindrical (Figs 61, 63). In Megachile felina the ventral processes are in a somewhat different position than in Coelioxys spp.; nonetheless, it was scored 1 for this character because of the shape of the ventral processes.
198. T7: 0, exposed; 1, hidden by T6 (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
199. T7 with distinct apicolateral lobe: 0 , absent; 1, present (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
200. T7 mediolaterally: 0 , without distinct lateral convexity; 1, with distinct lateral convexity (Baker, 1975: fig. 19A).
201. T7 apicomedial tooth-like process: 0 , present, long, narrow, and conspicuous (Fig. 94G); 1, present, short, and wide, or inconspicuous; 2, absent. In Lithurgopsis apicalis the pygidial plate bears a short, acute tooth apically, and thus it was coded as 1 for this character. In Coelioxys decipiens the tooth is long but broad, not narrow; it was considered as 0 .
202. T7 apex: 0, rounded (Baker, 1975: fig. 19A); 1, tapering (Baker, 1975: fig. 26A); 2, nearly truncate or slightly produced medially; 3, deeply emarginate. In Coelioxys mexicana Cresson, 1878 there is an obsolescent convex process medially, such that it is slightly produced, and it was coded as 2.
203. Number of fully exposed sterna: 0 , two or three; 1, four; 2, five or six (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
204. S5 shape: 0 , long, $\geq 1.25 \times$ longer than wide; 1 , wide, $\geq 2 \times$ wider than long.
205. S5 integument: 0, membranous; 1, lightly sclerotized, clearly not as well sclerotized as the preceding sterna; 2, as strongly sclerotized as the preceding sterna (Gonzalez et al., 2012; modified).
206. S5 medially: 0, flat (Fig. 64); 1, depressed medially (Figs 49, 62).
207. S5 apical margin, medially: 0, entire; 1, emarginated, with width more than $3 \times$ greater than depth (Fig. 62); 2, emarginated, with width less than $2 \times$ greater than depth; 3 , produced medially.
208. S5 apical margin, laterally: 0, deeply notched, incision between outer margin and rest of sternum acute, longer than broad; 1, slightly notched, incision between outer margin and rest of sternum rounded, not longer than broad; 2 , not notched.
209. S5 apical rim: 0, entire; 1, weakly emarginate, width of concavity more than $3 \times$ longer than depth; 2, emarginate, width of concavity less than $2 \times$ longer than depth; 3 , produced medially.
210. Postgradular area of S5: 0, densely, conspicuously covered with plumose hairs; 1 , inconspicuously hairy or not densely hairy.
211. Gradulus of S5: 0 , evanescent; 1 , conspicuous, strong (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 16A-F).
212. S5 gradulus shape: 0 , largely straight medially or slightly rounded medially; 1, convex; 2 , Ushaped, angulate towards base with medial area broadly rounded; 3, V-shaped, angulate towards base (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 16C, F); 4, Vshaped, deeply angulate towards base, gradulus almost reaching base (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 16A, B, D, E); 5, W-shaped. Not applicable for taxa coded as 0 in the previous character.
213. Gradulus of S5: 0, incomplete, lateral margins evanescent (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 16A-F); 1, complete. Not applicable for taxa coded as 0 in character 211.
214. Gradulus and basal margin of S5: 0 , meeting medially; 1, not meeting medially (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 16A-F). Not applicable for taxa coded as 0 in character 211.
215. Lateral margins of S6: 0 , angulate (RochaFilho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 20B); 1, rounded, not angulate (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 16G-L).
216. Lateral margins of S6, apically: 0 , acutely pointed, incision between outer margin and rest of sternum acute; 1, bluntly pointed, incision between outer margin and rest of sternum narrowly rounded (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 20B); 2, incision between outer lobe and rest of sternum broadly rounded; 3, entire apical margin evenly convex (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 16G-L).
217. Lateral margins of S6: 0 , heavily sclerotized, contrasting with the medial area (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 16G-L, 20B); 1, heavily or lightly sclerotized or translucent, but not contrasting with the medial area. In all Radoszkowskiana species as well as in Coelioxys acanthura there is a very small, slender band apically that is more strongly sclerotized, but because of its small size and apical position these taxa were coded as 1 for this character.
218. S6: 0 , as well sclerotized as the preceding sterna; 1, poorly sclerotized, translucent to membranous (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 16G-L, 20B) (Gonzalez et al., 2012).
219. S6 shape: 0 , long, $\geq 1.25 \times$ longer than wide (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 16G-L, 20B); 1, wide, $\geq 1.2 \times$ wider than long.
220. S6 apically: 0 , copiously setose (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 16G-L); 1, inconspicuously hairy to bare (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 20B).
221. S6 hairs: 0, spatulate (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 16G-L); 1, lanceolate.
222. S6 basally: 0, with thick hairs; 1, lacking thick hairs (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 16G-L, 20B).
223. S6 basal membranous lip: 0, folded ventrad (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 16G-L, 20B); 1, not folded ventrad.
224. S7: 0, undivided (Fig. 94H); 1, divided into two small sclerites (Baker, 1975: fig. 26D).
225. S8 apex: 0, emarginate; 1, convex, but not produced medially (Fig. 94I); 2, produced medially (Schwarz, 2001; abb. 31).
226. S8 shape: 0 , elongate, $\geq 2 \times$ longer than wide (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 16G-L, 20B); 1, wider, $<2 \times$ longer than wide (Fig. 94I).
227. S8 base shape: 0 , triangular, base wide and tapering to subacute apex (Fig. 94I); 1, convex or trapezoidal, base wide and tapering, rounded or trapezoidal apex (Baker, 1975: fig. 39C); 2, elongate, narrowly triangular, tapering to apex (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 16G-L, 20B).
228. S8 base: 0, a tip produced (Fig. 94I); 1, lacking a tip (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 16G-L, 20B).
229. Gonocoxa length: 0 , distinctly longer than penis valve (Fig. 94J); 1, subequal to penis valve in length (Fig. 94K); 2, distinctly shorter than penis valve (Fig. 94L).
230. Gonocoxa subapically: 0 , strongly compressed laterally; 1, slightly compressed laterally (Fig. 94J); 2, not compressed (Fig. 94L).
231. Gonocoxa apex, concavity: 0, absent; 1, present, strongly concave (Schwarz, 2001; abb. 39, 43, 44).
232. Gonocoxa apically: 0 , rounded (Fig. 94L); 1, more pointed (Fig. 94J).
233. Gonocoxa apically: 0, copiously hairy, hairs long and curved (Fig. 94L); 1, less densely hairy, hairs long but not curved; 2, inconspicuously hairy or bare (Fig. 94J).
234. Gonocoxa and gonobase, junction between them: 0, approximately right-angular
(Fig. 94K); 1, obtuse to broadly concave and not angulate (Fig. 94J).
235. Gonocoxa: 0, divergent; 1, parallel or convergent.
236. Gonocoxa apex with mesal barb: 0 , present (Fig. 94K); 1, absent (Fig. 94J).
237. Gonocoxa apically: 0, parallel-sided or narrowing towards apex, not swollen (Fig. 94J); 1, swollen (Fig. 94L).
238. Penis valve: 0, gradually tapering towards apex or subparallel; 1, abruptly tapering subapically (Schwarz, 2001; abb. 39, 41).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The phylogenetic analysis using equally weighted characters resulted in 25 most-parsimonious trees (tree length $=2135$, consistency index $=0.181$, retention index $=0.610$ ), with four collapsed nodes in the consensus tree (Fig. 1). The character weighting in successive approximations stabilized after two iterations, giving the tree shown in Figure 2. Implied weighting produced one tree with a fit of 57.898 (Fig. 3). The strict consensus of the equally most-parsimonious trees in the equal-weights analysis was different from those obtained from the successive and implied weighting analyses regarding the position of Megachilini among the out-groups and ambiguity related to the monophyly of the subgenus Coelioxys (Boreocoelioxys). Almost all of the decisions regarding Coelioxys subgenera (details below) were based upon monophyly for the subgenus in all three trees, or upon monophyly in both weighted results when that decision was not contradicted by the equal-weights result. In the equal-weights consensus tree presented as Figure 1, Michener's classification of the Coelioxys subgenera was retained. In contrast, the new classification based upon our phylogenetic analyses was used in both weighted trees (Figs 2, 3). Thus, comparison of Figure 1 with Figures 2 and/or 3 shows the classificatory changes for the taxa used in our analyses. All species currently thought to belong to each of the subgenera as we define them are listed below in the accounts of each subgenus.

## MONOPHYLY AND SISTER-GROUP RELATIONSHIPS OF Megachilini

All analyses gave a monophyletic Megachilini (with Noteriades included within it), and the weighted
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Figure 2. Tree from the successive approximations character weighting analysis. All the resultant taxonomic changes based upon the phylogenetic analyses are shown. Branch support values are shown as in Figure 1. The six new subgenera are highlighted in grey.


Figure 3. Tree from the implied weighting analysis with all character state changes mapped upon it. All taxonomic changes resulting from the phylogenetic analyses are shown. Black circles indicate unique character state changes; white circles indicate homoplastic changes; character numbers are placed above each change; the changed character state is shown below. Branch support values are shown as in Figure 1. A: Coelioxys (Rhinocoelioxys) + remaining subgenera.
results were also consistent in the arrangement of the out-group taxa: (Dioxys (Anthidium (Aspidosmia + Ochreriades))), with Osmia as sister to the Megachilini. In contrast, the equal-weights analysis had Dioxys and Osmia forming a polytomy along with (Anthidium (Aspidosmia + Ochreriades)) and the Megachilini. Different sister-group relationships for the Megachilini have been found in previous phylogenetic studies of Megachilidae (Praz et al., 2008; Litman et al., 2011, 2013; Gonzalez et al., 2012); however, because our study was aimed at resolving relationships within and between Radoszkowskiana and Coelioxys, we make no further comment on the relevance of our results to higher level phylogeny within the subfamily.
The monophyly of Megachilini was supported by the following three characters: the presence of a distinct mesal process on the upper half of the antennal socket (2-1; Gonzalez et al., 2012: fig. 5); the outer surfaces of fore- and midtibiae apically with acute angle and distinct notch anteriorly (75-1; Gonzalez et al., 2012: fig. 14), and the S 7 in males divided into
two small sclerites (224-1; Baker, 1975: fig. 26D). All these characters were listed by Gonzalez et al. (2012) as synapomorphies of Megachilini. A list of some least-homoplasious synapomorphies for the nodes is presented in Tables S1 and S2.

## Relationships within the Megachilini

As also reported by Praz et al. (2008), Litman et al. (2011), and Gonzalez et al. (2012), Noteriades was nested within Megachilini. In the successive and implied weighting analyses we found that Noteriades was nested within Megachile, whereas in the equalweights consensus tree it formed a polytomy with two groups within Megachile. The discrepancy between our three trees arises from the genus Megachile, as the clade (Coelioxys + Radoszkowskiana) arises from a polytomy with three other groups within Megachilini but in both weighted analyses this clade is the sister group of (Megachile + Noteriades). The molecular phylogenetic study of Litman et al. (2011) also showed the clade (Coelioxys +


Figure 3. Continued.

Radoszkowskiana) arising from Megachile, thus rendering latter paraphyletic.

The position of Radoszkowskiana as sister group of Coelioxys was observed in all of our analyses, and was also reported by Litman et al. (2011). This clade was supported by the following synapomorphies: clypeus with sparse, thin, erect, long hairs throughout the disc (14-1; Fig. 81) and female metasoma conical (112-1; Michener, 2007: fig. 84-2).

## MONOPHYLY OF RADOSZKOWSKIANA AND RELATIONSHIPS AMONG ITS SPECIES

The monophyly of the genus Radoszkowskiana is supported by some homoplasious character state changes as well as two unique, unreversed synapomorphies (Fig. 3): T6 apex in females emarginate (119-1) and apex of the gonocoxa in males strongly concave (231-1; Schwarz, 2001; abb. 39, 43, 44). Only one species, $R$. rufiventris, has been used in previous phylogenetic analyses (Litman et al., 2011, 2013;

Gonzalez et al., 2012), and thus the relationships among the four species of Radoszkowskiana remained unknown. The following result was obtained in all of our analyses: ( $R$. rufiventris (R. barrei (R.gusevi + R. tkalcui))). The clade ( $R$. barrei ( $R$. gusevi + R. tkalcui)) is supported by the following synapomorphies that arise elsewhere on the tree in other genera: fascia on concavity of T1 present and composed of subappressed or appressed hairs (104-2; Fig. 42); S5 gradulus V-shaped, angulate towards base (212-3; Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 16C, F); and outer margins of S6 apically broadly rounded, with incision between outer lobe and rest of sternum broadly rounded (216-2). The clade formed by the two species described by Schwarz (2001), R. gusevi $+R$. tkalcui, is supported by five homoplasious character state changes (see Table S1), of which the hind coxa of females with ventral carina present (81-1) and male S8 apex emarginate (225-0) are the least homoplasious. Both species seem to be poorly represented in collections
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and few specimens are known: from Syria for R. gusevi and from Turkey for R. tkalcui (Schwarz, 2001). On the other hand, $R$. barrei and $R$. rufiventris are more widely distributed in the Palaearctic region, with records of the former species ranging from Turkey to India, whereas $R$. rufiventris occurs in Northern Africa, from Algeria to Egypt (Schwarz, 2001; Ascher \& Pickering, 2015) (Tables S1 and S2).

## Monophyly of Coelioxys and relationships AMONG SUBGENERA

The monophyly of Coelioxys is supported by the following unique, unreversed synapomorphies: T6 in females gradually tapering towards apex (116-0; Figs 12, 26); and in males S 5 long, $\geq 1.25 \times$ longer than wide (204-0). Two more characters have unique changes that help define the genus, but are reversed in one or more subtaxa within it: T6 dorsal carina present (125-1; Figs 38, 40) and S6 gradually tapering towards apex (136-0; Figs 26, 38), both are characters of females.
In all analyses the subgenera Coelioxys (Torridapis) Pasteels, 1977 and Coelioxys (Liothyrapis) Cockerell, 1911, , i.e. a clade comprising species of the genus with glabrous compound eyes, were a monophyletic sister group to all other Coelioxys
subgenera combined. The monophyly of (C. (Torridapis) + C. (Liothyrapis)) is supported by: supraclypeal area elevated medially, forming a sharp keel at least apically (9-2; Fig. 54). The monophyly of the clade comprising all remaining subgenera is supported by: compound eye hairy (3-1; Fig. 5). Michener (2007) highlighted how different C. (Torridapis) and C. (Liothyrapis) were from the rest of the genus because of their hairless eyes, and also mentioned that Pasteels (1982) placed both in a separate genus, Liothyrapis. As Coelioxys is supported by two unique, unreversed synapomorphies and lack of eye hairs is plesiomorphic, we regard these two groups with hairless eyes as subgenera. Furthermore, we do not unite these into a single subgenus because of the relatively large differences between them. Also, it should be noted that one species, Coelioxys (Allocoelioxys) madagascariensis Benoist, 1955, exhibits a reversal to glabrous compound eyes, despite clearly being a member of C. (Allocoelioxys) based upon the characters of that subgenus (see next paragraph). This species thus comes out in a separate place from its consubgeners in the key.

Another result found in all analyses was the clade formed by C. odin + (C. (Synocoelioxys) + C. (Allocoelioxys)), which is supported by four homoplasious character state changes, the least homoplasious of
which are: the pubescence of body composed of both scale-like and fine hairs (44-1) and male T7 with medial tooth reduced (201-1). The position of the subgenus Coelioxys (Mesocoelioxys) Ruszkowski, 1986 nested within C. (Allocoelioxys) was found in all the analyses, and the clade formed by those two subgenera is supported by: axilla laterally carinate, carina conspicuously elevated (67-1; Fig. 6). Because $C$. (M.) argentea, the only species in its subgenus, is nested within C. (Allocoelioxys), it renders the latter paraphyletic. Consequently, we place C. (Mesocoelioxys) in synonymy with C. (Allocoelioxys) syn. nov. Michener (2007: 549) had already noted some similarities between C. (Mesocoelioxys) and C. (Allocoelioxys).

The Coelioxys species from Australia, previously assigned to Coelioxys (Coelioxys s.s.) Latreille, 1809; (Michener, 1965), form two successive sister groups to all other Coelioxys subgenera not yet discussed in the analyses. Furthermore, in all tree topologies, both groups are separated from the type species of C. (Coelioxys s.s.) by numerous other subgenera. Consequently, and given the high support and presence of some unreversed synapomorphies found in each of the two branches of Australian taxa, C. reginae + Coelioxys froggatti Cockerell, 1911 are placed in one new subgenus Coelioxys (Eingana) subgen. nov. and Coelioxys albolineata Cockerell, $1905+$ C. confusa are placed in a second Coelioxys (Callosarissa) subgen. nov. described below (see comments in the section on Taxonomic implications).

The monotypic Coelioxys (Platycoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973 is the sister group of all the remaining subgenera according to all analyses. Coelioxys (Platycoelioxys) alatiformis Friese, 1921 possesses the following autapomorphies: S 5 in females greatly expanded towards apex, margins straight, ventral surface concave, apex broad and truncate (94-2; Fig. 44); postgradular area of T2 with an elliptical, small, deep fovea covered with minute hairs and not surrounded by punctures (175-5; Fig. 45); and postgradular area of T3 with a small, circular fovea surrounded by an impunctate area without punctures (178-5; Fig. 45).

In all analyses, the species of $C$. (Neocoelioxys) came nested within most species currently assigned to $C$. (Acrocoelioxys), including its type species. The type species of $C$. (Acrocoelioxys), Coelioxys (Acrocoelioxys) otomita Cresson, 1878 is the sister species of a clade containing two other C. (Acrocoelioxys) species and all of our exemplars of C. (Neocoelioxys). Consequently, we synonymize C. (Acrocoelioxys) under C. (Neocoelioxys) syn. nov., as the latter has priority in Mitchell's (1973) revision. The placement of two C. (Acrocoelioxys) species (Coelioxys azteca Cresson, 1878 and Coelioxys dinellii Holmberg, 1916)
along with the incertae sedis species $C$. bertonii varied among analyses. Nonetheless, they form a wellsupported monophyletic group separate from all other named subgenera in all three results, and consequently a new subgenus Coelioxys (Leuraspidia) subgen. nov. is described for them. This clade is supported by: mesoscutum conspicuously swollen medially (39-1; Fig. 58) and S5 in females with erect thick hairs forming a distinct row on the lateral margins (97-1).

All analyses failed to support the monophyly of C. (Rhinocoelioxys), with it either forming two successive sister groups to the subgenera yet to be discussed or, in the equal-weights analysis, forming, along with C. (Leuraspidia), three successive sister groups to the remainder. Given the synapomorphies for both groups (see also Supporting Information, Table S2), the name C. (Rhinocoelioxys) will be applied to the branch formed by the type species, Coelioxys zapoteca Cresson, 1878 along with Coelioxys agilis Smith, 1879. Thus, a new subgenus [C. (Austrocleptria) subgen. nov.] is required for the branch composed of ((Coelioxys nasidens Friese, $1922+$ Coelioxys clypearis Friese, 1922) + (Coelioxys barbata Schwarz \& Michener, 1954 + Coelioxys paraguayensis Schrottky, 1907)) (see Taxonomic implications).
The subgenus Coelioxys (Cyrtocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973 was monophyletic in all analyses, and is supported by: the hind basitarsus with a flattened toothlike process ( $83-1$ ) and the hind tibia apically with an elongate, cylindrical, subacute medial spine (84-3).
A newly delineated Coelioxys (Glyptocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973 includes Coelioxys (Dasycoelioxys) chilensis Reed, 1892, Coelioxys (Haplocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973, and C. (Glyptocoelioxys), based upon the following synapomorphies: postgradular area of T2 with an inconspicuous, minute, circular fovea with a densely punctate area posteriorly to it (175-3; Fig. 78) and postgradular area of T3 with a small, circular fovea surrounded by an impunctate area without punctures (178-4; Fig. 78). As C. (Haplocoelioxys) syn. nov. renders C. (Glyptocoelioxys) paraphyletic, it is synonymized under C. (Glyptocoelioxys) syn. nov. While page priority in Mitchell (1973) would suggest that C. (Haplocoelioxys) should take priority, this is not a requisite under article 24.2.2. of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature, and as C. (Haplocoelioxys) is monotypic, but C. (Glyptocoelioxys) contains 27 species, we chose the latter subgenus to minimize the number of changes in subgeneric assignments [see remarks in the C. (Glyptocoelioxys) section].

We also transfer C. chilensis, assigned to the subgenus C. (Dasycoelioxys) by Mitchell (1973; as

Coelioxys brevicaudata Friese, 1905), to C. (Glyptocoelioxys). This is unsurprising as this species does not possess the diagnostic features that Mitchell (1973) cited for C. (Dasycoelioxys). He differentiated C. (Dasycoelioxys) from those species of C. (Glyptocoelioxys) because of their dense and erect pubescence on the head and mesosoma and the form of the female $S 6$, which is slender and elongate with lateral notches minute or absent. These characters are not observed in C. chilensis; hence this species was originally incorrectly assigned to C. (Dasycoelioxys) and is now transferred to C. (Glyptocoelioxys).
The subgenus C. (Dasycoelioxys), synonymized under C. (Glyptocoelioxys) by Michener (2007), came out as a monophyletic group (once C. chilensis is removed from it, see above) with high support (Figs 1-3) in all analyses. It is sister to all subgenera other than those already discussed. Its status is therefore revalidated herein.
A clade composed of $C$. (Coelioxys s.s.) + Coelioxys (Xerocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973, was found in all trees. Both subgenera received high support (Fig. 3) in the implied weighting analysis. The sister-group relationship of the two subgenera is supported by the gradulus of T2 bowed posteriorly (109-0). The subgenus C. (Coelioxys s.s.) is supported by the outer margin of pronotal lobe distinctly rounded, not carinate (34-1; Fig. 30), and C. (Xerocoelioxys) is supported by the T6 apex in females ovoid, tapering towards the apex ( $120-2$; Fig. 14).
Species of Coelioxys (Boreocoelioxys) Mitchell, $1973+$ Coelioxys conoidea (Illiger, 1806) came as the sister group of the clade C. (Coelioxys s.s.) + C. (Xerocoelioxys) in all weighted analyses. In the consensus tree from the equal-weights analysis these two subgenera arise from a polytomy containing nine species previously placed in two subgenera. The subgenus C. (Boreocoelioxys) came as paraphyletic in the successive weighting tree with Coelioxys rufitarsis Smith, 1854, the type species of this subgenus, as the sister group of $C$. conoidea and the clade $C$. (Coelioxys s.s. $)+$ C. (Xerocoelioxys).
In the implied weighting analysis C. (Boreocoelioxys) was not supported (bootstrap, 0; symmetric, 5; Bremer, 2). Considering this outcome and given that the clade formed by Coelioxys funeraria Smith, 1854 + Coelioxys alata Förster, 1853 had high branch support (bootstrap, 54; symmetric, 63; Bremer, 5), the subgenus C. (Paracoelioxys) stat. res., previously synonymized under C. (Coelioxys s.s.), is now raised to encompass those species. Coelioxys (Paracoelioxys) is supported by the mandible in females angled medially on outer surface (21-2; Fig. 81). Hence, the subgenus C. (Boreocoelioxys) is now understood to comprise only the New World species previously assigned to it, and it is supported by
the postgradular grooves of T2 complete (110-0; Fig. 24), and in males the hypostomal concavity at least half as long as the compound eye (167-1; Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 7E). The other two Palaearctic species nested in the clade containing C. (Paracoelioxys) and C. (Boreocoelioxys), Coelioxys rufescens Lepeletier \& Serville, 1825 and C. conoidea (Fig. 3), are assigned to new subgenera Coelioxys (Rozeniana) subgen. nov. and Coelioxys (Melissoctonia) subgen. nov., respectively (see the following section). The clade composed of C. conoidea + (C. rufescens + (C. (Paracoelioxys) + C. (Boreocoe-
lioxys)) is supported by the postgradular area of T2 in males with a more or less transverse, punctate area and a fovea (173-2; Figs 27, 88, 90). The clade formed by C. rufescens $+(C$. (Paracoelioxys) $+C$. (Boreocoelioxys)) is supported by the T1 lateral bands in females composed of subappressed or suberect hairs, at least basally (102-1; one reversal in C. funeraria), and by the fovea on the postgradular area of T2 in males transverse, shallow, and composed of small punctures, with punctures posteriorly to the fovea, or a deep, transverse fovea not composed of punctures, with punctures posteriorly to the fovea (175-1; Figs 27, 88; one reversal in C. funeraria).

## Taxonomic implications

Considering the result of the phylogenetic analyses, six new subgenera of Coelioxys are described herein and three are synonymized with other subgenera, making a total of 20 subgenera currently recognized. Twenty-one species are transferred among subgenera (Fig. 3).

In all three phylogenetic trees, the African species C. odin is the sister taxon to (C. (Allocoelioxys) + C. (Synocoelioxys)). This suggests that we should describe a subgenus for it; however, we are not doing so at this time for several reasons. First, there are numerous additional species that may be related to it, especially from the poorly known Indomalayan and Afrotropical regions. According to Pasteels (1977) these include additional species groups (aurifrons and caffra species groups) from the Afrotropical region that are related to C. odin, and we have not been able to study confirmed material of these taxa. Secondly, we have not studied type material of this species, but have identified our specimens using Pasteels' (1968) identification key. Hence C. odin is regarded herein as incertae sedis, as it also treated in Ascher \& Pickering (2015). We also emphasize that the key presented herein is provisional for the Afrotropical and Indomalayan zoogeographic regions, where the faunas are poorly known. A key to the 20 Coelioxys subgenera is provided below.

Key to the Coelioxys subgenera [modified from Mitchell (1973) and Michener (2007)]

1. Eyes bare (Fig. 4).

Eyes hairy (Fig. 5).
2. Lateral margins of axilla elevated, sharply carinate, from Madagascar (Fig. 6).

Coelioxys (Allocoelioxys)


Figures 4 and 5. Female. Frontal view of head (for compound eye): 4, Coelioxys weinlandi; 5, Coelioxys zapoteca. Scale bars: 1.5 mm .


Figures 6 and 7. Female. Dorsal view of mesoscutellum (for lateral margin of axilla): 6, Coelioxys madagascariensis; 7, Coelioxys verticalis. Scale bars: 1.5 mm .

[in part: Coelioxys (Allocoelioxys) madagascariensis (Benoist, 1955)]
Lateral margins of axilla neither elevated nor carinate, not from Madagascar (Fig. 7)... 3
3. Axilla short, free apical portion not produced beyond the posterior margin of the mesoscutellum and not curved apically (Fig. 8). Mesoscutellum flat, lacking dorsal medial carina (Fig. 8). Female: T6 subapically with long, erect, thick hairs, with apex ending in a long spine-like process (Fig. 8); S6 broad and rounded apically, abruptly constricted near midlength and bluntly pointed, its lateral margins with a dense fringe of long, curved, dark hairs (Fig. 9). Male: hypostomal concavity present (as in Fig. 15); T6 basally with long, acute lateral teeth (Fig. 10); S4 medially flat, neither sulcate nor hairy (predominantly Afrotropical but also Palaearctic and Indomalayan).

## .............. Coelioxys (Liothyrapis) Cockerell,

 1911.Axilla long, free apical portion produced beyond the posterior margin of the mesoscutellum and curved mesad apically (Fig. 11). Mesoscutellum with a dorsal median carina at least anteriorly
(Fig. 11). Female: T6 lacking long, erect, thick hairs, its apex ending in an inconspicuous, short, spine-like process (Fig. 12); T6 and S6 gradually tapering, considerably elongate (Fig. 12); S6 subacute apically, its lateral margins with an inconspicuous fringe composed of short brownish hairs (Fig. 12). Male: hypostomal area lacking concavity (as in Fig. 18); T6 never with long, acute teeth basally, sometimes with short, flattened teeth (Fig. 13); S4 medially sulcate and hairy (Afrotropical, Indomalayan, Palaearctic and Australasian).
........................ Coelioxys (Torridapis) Pasteels, 1977
4. Lateral margins of axilla elevated, sharply carinate (Fig. 6)..
.5
Lateral margins of axilla neither elevated nor carinate (Fig. 7). . .. ..................................... . . 7
5. Pilosity on vertex composed of long suberect hairs (as in Fig. 52). Female: S6 abruptly constricted near midlength, with lateral margins angled subapically, notches obtuse, clearly visible in dorsal view (Fig. 14). Male: hypostomal concavity present, conspicuous; gena covered with suberect hairs (Fig. 15) (Nearctic).


Figures 14 and 15. Coelioxys edita: 14, female, dorsal view of T6 and S6; 15, male, lateral view of head (for gena pilosity). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .

Figures 8-13. 8, 9, female, Coelioxys apicata: 8, dorsal view of mesoscutellum; 9, dorsal view of T6 and S6. 10, male, Coelioxys decipiens: dorsal view of T6 (for lateral tooth of T6). 11, female, Coelioxys ducalis: dorsal view of mesoscutellum. 12, 13, Coelioxys weinlandi: 12, female, dorsal view of T6 and S6; 13, male, dorsal view of T6 (for lateral tooth of T6). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .


6. Female: fore coxa with a flattened, spine-like process (Fig. 16); T6 constricted medially and forming an elongate, cylindrical, conspicuous upwardly oriented process with a rounded apex (Fig. 17); S5 apex truncate and thickened (Fig. 17). Male: hypostomal area unmodified, lacking concavity or a smooth plate (Fig. 18); T5 lacking lateral tooth-like processes; T6 apicodorsal processes not forked (Fig. 19) (Afrotropical and Palaearctic).
(Allocoelioxys) argentea group sensu Pasteels (1968, 1977)
Female: fore coxa lacking spine-like process (Fig. 20); T6 variable, if constricted medially then never forming a cylindrical, conspicuous dorsally oriented process (Fig. 21); S5 apex either ovoid or triangular, never thickened (Fig. 21). Male: hypostomal area with a smooth, elliptical plate (Fig. 22); T5 with lateral toothlike processes; T6 apicodorsal processes forked (Fig. 23) (predominantly Palaearctic and Afrotropical, but also Indomalayan and Australasian). Coelioxys (Allocoelioxys) Tkalců, 1974 (in part).
7. Postgradular grooves of T2 and often of T3 complete, uninterrupted medially (Fig. 24).
-
$\qquad$
Postgradular grooves of both T2 and T3 interrupted medially (Fig. 25). ................. . 10
8. Female: S6 gradually tapering towards apex and conspicuously notched subapically, notches acute (Fig. 26). Male: postgradular area of T2 with sublateral, elliptical, slender fovea on each side (Fig. 27) (Nearctic).
coelioxys) Mitchell, 1973 coelioxys) Mitchell, 1973


Figures 24 and 25. Female, dorsal view of T2-T3 (for postgradular grooves): 24, Coelioxys rufitarsis; 25, Coelioxys apacheorum. Scale bars: 1.5 mm .

Figures 16-23. 16-19, Coelioxys argentea. 16, 17, female: 16, frontal view of fore coxa (spine-like process indicated by black arrow); 17, lateral view of T6, S6, and S5 (indicated by black arrow). 18, 19, male: 18, lateral view of head (hypostomal area indicated by black arrow); 19, dorsal view of T6 (dorsal process indicated by red arrow). 20, female, Coelioxys erythrura: frontal view of fore coxa (lack of a spine-like process indicated by black arrow). 21, female, Coelioxys brevis: lateral view of T6, S6, and S5. 22, male, Coelioxys afra: lateral view of head (hypostomal area indicated by black arrow). 23, male, Coelioxys echinata, dorsal view of T6 (dorsal process indicated by red arrow). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .


Figures 26-29. 26, female, Coelioxys porterae: dorsal view of T6 and S6. 27, male, Coelioxys sayi: dorsal view of T2 (fovea indicated by red arrow). 28, female, Coelioxys quadridentata, dorsal view of T6 and S6. 29, male, Coelioxys sodalis: dorsal view of T2 (punctate area indicated by red arrow). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .

Female: S6 constricted near midlength, sometimes abruptly so, but lacking subapical notches (Fig. 28) or with obtuse notches (Fig. 14). Male: postgradular area of T2 never foveate, but sometimes with sublateral, transverse, densely punctate area on each side (Fig. 29).
.9
9. Pronotal lobe inconspicuously carinate, its outer margin distinctly rounded (albeit often obscured by long hairs; Fig. 30). Mesosomal pilosity long,
composed of suberect, elongate, thin hairs, lacking appressed hairs that if present would form spots on the mesoscutum (Holarctic).
......................................................Coelioxys
(Coelioxys s.s.) Latreille, 1809
Pronotal lobe either distinctly lamellate (Fig. 31) or carinate throughout without forming lamella (as in Fig. 75), albeit sometimes obscured by long hairs. Mesosomal pilosity short, composed of subappressed or appressed hairs forming


Figures 30 and 31. Female, frontal view of pronotal lobe (outer margin indicated by red arrow): 30, Coelioxys sodalis; 31, Coelioxys grindeliae. Scale bars: 1.5 mm .


Figures 32-34. 32, 33, female. 32, Coelioxys texana: dorsal view of mid ocellus. 33, Coelioxys alternata: dorsal view of T6 and S6. 34, male, Coelioxys alternata: dorsal view of T6 (dorsal process indicated by red arrow). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .


Figures 35-37. 35, 36, female. 35, Coelioxys albolineata: dorsal view of mesoscutellum (for axilla length). 36, Coelioxys froggatti: lateral view of pretarsal claws (inner rami indicated by black arrows). 37, male, Coelioxys reginae: lateral view of head (for genal excavation). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .
marginal lines or spots on the mesoscutum (predominantly Nearctic but also from Neotropical Mexico) $\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
..................................................Coelioxys
(Xerocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973 (in part).
10. Median ocellus surrounded by a swollen, dull, nearly impunctate area (Fig. 32). Female: lateral margins of T6 apex with long thick hairs forming
an inconspicuous fringe (Fig. 33); S6 rounded or elliptical, not notched (Fig. 33). Male: T6 apicodorsal processes forked, each arm flattened, pointed, and irregular, almost forming a crenulate plate (Fig. 34); T7 exposed, with a long tooth-like process medially; S7 undivided, bilobed (predominantly Nearctic but also from Cuba and Mexico)


Figures 38-41. 38, female, Coelioxys confusa: dorsal view of T6 and S6. 39, male, Coelioxys albolineata: lateral view of head (for posterior margin of genal excavation). 40, female, Coelioxys reginae: dorsal view of T6 and S6. 41, male, Coelioxys froggatti: lateral view of head (for posterior margin of genal excavation). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .
lioxys) Mitchell, 1973
Median ocellus generally not surrounded by a swollen area (Fig. 54), but if so then T6 in females with notches subapically (Fig. 26) and T6 apicodorsal processes in males not forked (Fig. 61). Male: T7 hidden by T6 but if exposed never with a median tooth-like process; S7 divided into two small sclerites.11
11. Axilla short, free apical portion shorter than basal portion (Fig. 35). Female: pretarsal claw bifurcate, inner and outer rami separated by broad concavity (Fig. 36). Male: gena deeply excavated along posterior margin of eye, excavated area densely covered with appressed, plumose white hairs (Fig. 37) (Australasian and Indomalayan). 12 Axilla long or short, but if short then pretarsal claws in females never bifurcate (Fig. 82). Gena in males never excavated (Fig. 15)..
12. Posterior margin of mesoscutellum obtusely produced medially, apex of convexity conspicuously emarginate and posterodorsally oriented. Mesoscutellum sparsely punctate, interspaces greater than puncture diameters. Female: T6 dorsal carina inconspicuously elevated, not sharp (Fig. 38); S6 narrow, elongate, much longer than broad, lateral notches indistinct (Fig. 38). Male: genal excavation linear, anterior and posterior margins nearly parallel, more than $4 \times$ longer than broad (Fig. 39); T6 apicodorsal processes long, triangular, acute apically (predominantly Australasian
but also Indomalayan).
Coelioxys (Callosarissa) Rocha-Filho subgen. nov. Posterior margin of mesoscutellum rounded, neither produced medially nor emarginate. Mesoscutellum densely to coarsely punctate, interspaces between punctures much shorter than puncture diameter. Female: T6 dorsal carina conspicuously elevated subapically, sharp (Fig. 40); S6 triangular, not conspicuously elongate, subapical notches distinct (Fig. 40). Male: genal excavation convex posteriorly, not linear, posterior margin distinctly curved, less than $3.5 \times$ longer than greatest breadth (Fig. 41); T6 apicodorsal processes elongate or short and broad, but always rounded apically (Australia).. ............................................Coelioxys (Eingana) Rocha-Filho subgen. nov.
13. Concavity of T1 distinctly carinate (although it may be necessary to move the specimen around to make sure the carina is detectable; Fig. 42).. .

Concavity of T1 lacking marginal carina (Fig. 43)... .................................................. . . 22
14. Female: clypeus with two submedian ridges separating three shallow pits; S5 greatly expanded towards apex, spatulate, hiding all but the apex of S6 (Fig. 44); S6 elongate, notched apically, with three minute tubercles at tip (Fig. 44). Male: postgradular areas of both T2 and T3 foveate, lacking punctures posteriorly to the fovea, fovea on T2 elliptical, covered with minute whitish hairs, fovea on T3 smaller, circular (Fig. 45) (Neotropical)......................Coelioxys (Platycoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973


Figures 42 and 43. 42, male, Coelioxys costaricensis: dorsal view of T1 (for marginal carina). 43, female, Coelioxys cerasiopleura: dorsal view of T1 (for lack of marginal carina). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .


Figures 44 and 45. Coelioxys alatiformis: 44, female, dorsal view of T6, S6 and S5 (indicated by black arrow); 45, male, dorsal view of T2-T3 (foveae indicated by red arrows). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .

Female: clypeus without two submedian ridges separating three shallow pits; S5 not expanded towards apexm but if so (C. alata) then fanshaped, never spatulate, margins straight, ventral surface convex, apex broad and truncate; S6 elongate, lacking notches (Fig. 46) or notched
apically (Figs 57, 60), but never with three minute tubercles at tip. Male: postgradular area of T2 usually not foveate, but if so then fovea never elliptical and covered with minute whitish hairs, fovea either punctate, transverse and hairless (Fig. 90), or inconspicuous, minute, circular with


Figures 46 and 47. 46, female, Coelioxys sanguinicollis: dorsal view of T6 and S6. 47, male, Coelioxys chichimeca: dorsal view of T2-T3 (fovea on T3 indicated by red arrow). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .
a densely punctate area posterior to the fovea (Fig. 78); postgradular area of T3 not foveate, but if so then fovea always with punctures posteriorly to the fovea (Figs 78, 80).
15. Female: T6 ending in a short, rounded spine-like process (long in Coelioxys gonaspis Cockerell, 1924, which agrees with all following
characteristics), subapical area covered with long, thick erect hairs apically (Fig. 46); S6 constricted near midlength or gradually tapering, but ending in a spine-like process, its lateral margins either covered with an inconspicuous short fringe or with a dense fringe composed of long, suberect, dark hairs (Fig. 46). Male: postgradular area of T2 never foveate (Fig. 47),


Figures 48 and 49. Coelioxys mexicana: 48, female, frontal view of head (for clypeus); 49, male, ventral view of S4 (Ushaped process indicated by blue arrow). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .


Figures 50 and 51. Female, dorsal view of mesoscutellum (for posterior margin): 50, Coelioxys simillima; 51, Coelioxys zapoteca. Scale bars: 1.5 mm .


Figures 52-54. Female. 52, Coelioxys menthae, dorsal view of mid ocellus. 53, 54, frontal view of head (for supraclypeal area): 53, Coelioxys tolteca; 54, Coelioxys bertonii. Scale bars: 1.5 mm .
postgradular area of T3 with a circular, deep fovea composed of small punctures; fovea surrounded by punctures forming a transverse area and with an impunctate area anterior to the fovea (Fig. 47, fovea indicated by red arrow), except in Coelioxys costaricensis Cockerell, 1914, in which the fovea is absent but which agrees with all preceding characters (predominantly Neotropical but also Nearctic).

Coelioxys (Cyrtocoelioxys)
Mitchell, 1973
Female: T6 never ending in a short, rounded spine-like process, subapical area lacking long, thick erect hairs apically (Figs 65, 77, 79); S6 gradually tapering towards apex, never constricted near midlength and ending in a spine-like process, its lateral margins not covered with a fringe composed of long, suberect, dark hairs (Figs 65, 77, 79). Male: postgradular area of T2 foveate (Fig. 78) or lacking fovea (Fig. 68), postgradular area of T3 not foveate (Figs 66, 88) or foveate (Fig. 78, 80), if foveate then fovea minute, circular, shallow, with a densely punctate area posterior to it (Fig. 78), or fovea circular, deep, composed of small punctures and completely surrounded by punctures, lacking impunctate areas (Fig. 80).
16. Postgradular grooves of T 2 and T 3 narrowly interrupted medially. Posterior margin of mesoscutellum rounded, not produced medially. Mesoscutellum keeled dorsomedially. Female: clypeus flattened apically (Fig. 48). Male:
hypostomal area lacking concavity; S4 apical margin deeply emarginate, forming a U-shaped process, its arms thick, robust (Fig. 49) (Nearctic and Neotropical)............... Coelioxys (Glyptocoelioxys) [in part Coelioxys (Glyptocoelioxys) mexicana Cresson, 1878]
Postgradular grooves of T2 and T3 broadly interrupted medially. Mesoscutellum usually triangular with its posterior margin produced medially, but if rounded and not produced medially then mesoscutellum never keeled dorsomedially. Female: clypeus flat (Fig. 54), swollen or produced medially (Fig. 5), never flattened apically, but if so then basal concavity of T1 never carinate. Male: hypostomal area usually with a concavity, but if concavity is absent then S 4 apical margin either entire (as in Fig. 62) or deeply emarginate, forming a U-shaped process, its arms flattened, elongate (as in Fig. 64).
17. Mesoscutum and mesoscutellum sparsely punctate, interspaces between punctures much larger than diameter of adjacent punctures (Fig. 50). Dorsal and posterior surfaces of mesoscutellum forming a sharp, acute angle that is nearly impunctate (Fig. 50). Mesoscutellum produced over metanotum.

Mesoscutum and mesoscutellum moderately densely punctate, interspaces between punctures subequal to or larger than puncture diameter (Fig. 51). Dorsal and posterior surfaces of mesoscutellum forming an angle of at least $90^{\circ}$, edge

Figures 55-60. Female. 55-57, Coelioxys otomita: 55, lateral view of mesosoma (anterior margin of mesoscutum indicated by black arrow); 56, lateral view of T6 and S6 (dorsal surface of T6 indicated by black arrow); 57, dorsal view of T6 and S6 (S6 notch indicated by black arrow). 58, Coelioxys dinellii: lateral view of mesosoma (anterior margin of mesoscutum indicated by black arrow). 59, Coelioxys azteca: lateral view of T6 and S6 (dorsal surface of T6 indicated by black arrow). 60, Coelioxys dinellii: dorsal view of T6 and S6 (S6 notch indicated by black arrow). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .
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Figures 61-64. Male. 61, Coelioxys abdominalis: dorsal view of T5-T6 (lateral tooth of T5 indicated by black arrow). 62, Coelioxys menthae: ventral view of S4 (apical margin indicated by black arrow). 63, 64, Coelioxys azteca: 63, dorsal view of T5-T6 (lateral tooth of T5 indicated by black arrow); 64, ventral view of S 4 (U-shaped process indicated by black arrow). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .
at least somewhat punctate (Fig. 51). Mesoscutellum not produced over metanotum.
.20
18. Median ocellus surrounded by a conspicuous impunctate, swollen area (Figs 52, 53). Supraclypeal area elevated medially, forming a rounded ridge (Fig. 53) (predominantly Neotropical but also Nearctic).
...Coelioxys (Neocoelioxys)
Mitchell, 1973 (in part).
Median ocellus never surrounded by a conspicuously impunctate, swollen area, subocellar area punctate, flat or inconspicuously elevated (Fig. 54). Supraclypeal area not elevated medially, never forming a rounded ridge (Fig. 54). . . . . . . . . . . . ....................................... . 19
19. Median area of mesoscutum not swollen (Fig. 55). Female: T6 bisinuate in profile (Fig. 56); S6 notches obsolete, weakly impressed, not interrupting outline of sternum in dorsal view (Fig. 57). Male: T5 lacking lateral teeth (as in Fig. 13); S4 apical margin entire (as in Fig. 62); gradulus of S5 straight and complete (requires dissection) (Neotropical).

Coelioxys
(Neocoelioxys) otomita Cresson, 1878
Median area of mesoscutum conspicuously swollen (Fig. 58). Female: T6 convex, never bisinuate in profile (Fig. 59); S6 notches acute, triangular, clearly visible in dorsal view (Fig. 60). Male: T5 with conspicuous lateral teeth (Fig. 63); S4 apical margin deeply emarginate, forming a U-shaped process (Fig. 64); gradulus of S5 semicircular or V-shaped, incomplete, its lateral margins evanescent (requires dissection) (predominantly Neotropical but also Nearctic)

Coelioxys
(Leuraspidia) Rocha-Filho subgen. nov.
20. Median ocellus surrounded by a conspicuous impunctate, swollen area (Figs 52, 53). Supraclypeal area elevated medially, forming a rounded ridge (Figs 52, 53). Male: T5 lacking or with very short, vestigial lateral teeth (Fig. 61); S4 apical margin entire (Fig. 62); gradulus of S5 straight and complete (requires dissection) (Nearctic and Neotropical).

Coelioxys (Neocoelioxys) (in part) (Coelioxys slossoni Viereck, 1902, Coelioxys menthae Cockerell, 1897, Coelioxys rufipes Guérin-Méneville, 1844, Coelioxys turbinata Krombein, 1953, and an undescribed species from the Bahamas)
Median ocellus not surrounded by a conspicuously swollen area, subocellar area punctate, flat or inconspicuously elevated (Fig. 5).

Supraclypeal area not elevated medially, never forming a rounded ridge (Fig. 5). Male: T5 with conspicuous lateral teeth (Fig. 63); S4 apical margin deeply emarginate, forming a U-shaped process (Fig. 64); gradulus of S5 convex or V-shaped, never straight, and incomplete, its lateral margins evanescent.

## .21

21. Posterior margin of mesoscutellum obtusely produced medially into a blunt edge (Fig. 51), without a protuberant median process. Mesoscutellum with a distinct longitudinal median ridge (Fig. 51). Axilla long, free apical portion longer or subequal to basal portion (Fig. 51). Ocular hairs long ( $\sim 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$; Fig. 5). Female: S6 lateral notches obsolete, weakly impressed, not interrupting outline in dorsal view (Fig. 65). Male: postgradular areas of T2 and T3 with a transverse, punctate area either formed by punctures that may be fused or by few smaller punctures basally and larger punctures apicolaterally (Fig. 66) (Neotropical)... .......................................... .Coelioxys (Rhinocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973.
Posterior margin of mesoscutellum either produced medially into a protuberant median process (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 3I, 9D) or rounded (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 5C, 7 F ), never obtusely produced medially into a blunt edge. Mesoscutellum lacking a distinct longitudinal median ridge (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 3I, 5C). Axilla short, free apical portion shorter than basal portion (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 3I, 5C). Ocular hairs short ( $0.07-0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$ ). Female: S6 lateral notches acute, triangular, clearly visible in dorsal view (Fig. 67). Male: postgradular areas of T2 and T3 with a conspicuous, elliptical, transverse, punctate area formed by punctures of the same size (Fig. 68) (Neotropical).

Coelioxys
(Austrocleptria) Rocha-Filho subgen. nov.
22. Mesoscutellum triangular, its posterior margin protuberant, produced medially (Fig. 69). S1 basomedially with a conspicuous pointed process (Fig. 70). Male: postgradular area of T 3 with a fovea anterior to a transverse punctate area (except Coelioxys chilensis Reed, 1892, in which the postgradular area lacks a fovea and a transverse punctate area).

Mesoscutellum rounded, not produced medially (Fig. 71). S1 basomedially swollen, lacking a conspicuous pointed produced area (Fig. 72). Male: postgradular area of T3 unmodified, without fovea or punctate area.
23. Pronotal tubercle emarginate, forming two distinct lobes, its carina conspicuously elevated, lamellate (Fig. 73). Pubescence of mesosoma short; on mesoscutum predominantly forming spots of appressed hairs (Fig. 73). Female: eye distinctly convex ventrally (Fig. 74, posterior margin of eye indicated by black arrow); T6 with lateral carina (Fig. 77); S6 elongate, at most $2 \times$ longer than broad, lateral notches broader, at least $2.5 \times$ broader than long, (Fig. 77). Male: face densely covered with decumbent hairs; Postgradular areas of T 2 and T 3 with a small fovea surrounded by an elevated, transverse impunctate area with a depressed, transverse densely punctate area posteriorly, the fovea on T2 minute, circular, and on T3 slender, transverse (Fig. 78; postgradular areas of T 2 and T 3 unmodified in C. chilensis); apical rim of S4 flat, not flexed ventrally (as in Fig. 64) (Neotropical). Coelioxys (Glyptocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973 (in part).
Pronotal tubercle straight, not forming distinct lobes, its carina neither elevated nor lamellate (Fig. 75). Pubescence of mesosoma long, dense, in some cases forming spots of appressed hairs (Fig. 75). Female: eye surface not convex ventrally (Fig. 76); T6 lacking lateral carina (Fig. 79); S6 greatly narrower and elongate, at least $2.5 \times$ longer than broad, strongly flexed downwards, lateral notches narrower, at least $2.5 \times$ longer than broad (Fig. 79) or absent. Male: face densely covered with long, erect or suberect hairs; Postgradular area of T2 with a broad, rectangular, densely punctate area; postgradular area of T3 with a circular, deep, conspicuous fovea surrounded by punctures forming a larger elliptical area (Fig. 80); apical rim of S4 flexed ventrally (as in Fig. 93) (Neotropical).
................Coelioxys (Dasycoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973
24. Axilla very short, free apical portion much shorter than basal portion and not produced beyond posterior margin of mesoscutellum (Fig. 71). Apical fasciae on T2-T5 sparse medially or narrowly interrupted. Female: mandible outer surface angled; S6 apex elongate, longer than broad, notches narrowly acute (Fig. 81). Male: gonocoxa subapically not compressed (Holarctic)........................Coelioxys (Paracoelioxys) Gribodo, 1884
Axilla long, free apical portion longer or subequal to basal portion, and produced beyond posterior margin of mesoscutellum (Fig. 83). Apical
fasciae on T1-T5 complete, but if interrupted then broadly so, and bands thick and subtriangular. Female: mandible outer surface curved medially, never angled (Fig. 82); S6 either lanceolate and not notched (Fig. 89) or elongate and notched (Fig. 87) (if notched then S6 apex short, triangular, broader than long, notches not acute). Male: gonocoxa subapically compressed laterally (Palaearctic)
...................................................... 25
25. Mesoscutellum anteriorly either with sparse, erect, long hairs or with a tiny, transverse line of subappressed hairs, never forming separated spots (Fig. 83). Axilla conspicuously curved inwards apically, convergent (Fig. 83). Apical fasciae of T1-T5 and S2-S5 complete (Fig. 84). Female: T6 dorsal carina short, roughly onethird tergal length, weak half basally; fascia on apical margin of S5 complete, composed of yellowish hairs; S6 elongate and conspicuously notched, notches not acute (Fig. 87); S6 ventral surface not keeled (Fig. 87). Male: hypostomal concavity deep and nearly bare, anterior margin with a longitudinal inconspicuous line of hairs; postgradular area of T2 with a very slender, shallow, punctate fovea, with impunctate margin only found posteriorly to the fovea (Fig. 88); S4 apical margin entire and apical rim weakly emarginate (Fig. 92) lioxys (Rozeniana) Rocha-Filho subgen. nov. Mesoscutellum anteriorly with two small, separated, sublateral spots of subappressed hairs (Fig. 85). Axilla not curved apically, subparallel with one another (Fig. 85). Apical fasciae of T1T5 broadly interrupted medially, forming broad, subtriangular lateral bands (Fig. 86). Apical fasciae of S2-S5 interrupted medially. Female: T6 dorsal carina nearly complete, more than twothirds tergal length (Fig. 89); fascia on apical margin of S5 interrupted medially, composed of white hairs; S 6 broad, lanceolate, lacking lateral notches (Fig. 89); S6 ventral surface keeled apically (Fig. 91). Male: hypostomal concavity shallow, densely hairy on anterior margin; postgradular area of T2 with a transverse, deep fovea composed of small punctures, with a narrow impunctate margin entirely surrounding the fovea (Fig. 90); S4 apical margin slightly emarginate and apical rim conspicuously emarginate, forming two slightly elevated arms (Fig. 93)......................Coelioxys (Melissoctonia) Rocha-Filho subgen. nov.
Diagnoses and comments are given for all subgenera. The list of species provided for each of them was based on online databases (Moure
et al., 2012; Ascher \& Pickering, 2015) and the study of type material by the first author for most Australasian and Neotropical species (species denoted with an asterisk). Other designations were made based on species descriptions and associated images. Given the large size and taxonomic complexity of the genus, all subgeneric assignments not based upon study of type material should be considered tentative.

## Coelioxys (Allocoelioxys) Tkalcú, 1974

= Coelioxita Pasteels, 1977
= Coelioxula Pasteels, 1982
= Coelioxys (Intercoelioxys) Ruszkowski, 1986
= Coelioxys (Lepidocoelioxys) Ruszkowski, 1986
= Coelioxys (Mesocoelioxys) Ruszkowski, 1986 syn. nov.
$=$ Coelioxys (Tropidocoelioxys) Gupta, 1991
= Coelioxys (Argcoelioxys) Warncke, 1992 syn. nov.
= Coelioxys (Orientocoelioxys) Gupta, 1992
= Coelioxys (Nigrocoelioxys) Gupta, 1993
Type species: Coelioxys afra Lepeletier, 1841.
Diagnosis: The most obvious diagnostic characteristic of this subgenus is the conspicuously carinate and elevated lateral margins of the axilla (Fig. 6) in both sexes, a feature otherwise only observed in one other Coelioxys species: the Nearctic C. (Xerocoelioxys) edita. The T6 apicodorsal processes in males are forked (Fig. 23) in most species (exceptions are Coelioxys bifoliata Pasteels, 1968, Coelioxys madagascariensis Benoist, 1955, and the argentea group sensu Pasteels (1968, 1977) (i.e. C. argentea, Coelioxys caeruleipennis Friese, 1904, Coelioxys incarinata Friese, 1904, and Coelioxys scutellotuberculata Pasteels, 1968). Coelioxys (Synocoelioxys) spp. also have forked T6 apicodorsal processes but in that subgenus the processes are flattened, acute, and of unequal length, almost forming an irregular crenulate plate (Fig. 34), whereas in C. (Allocoelioxys) males the apicodorsal processes are weakly convex, approximately equal in length, rounded apically, and distinct, not forming a crenulate plate (Fig. 23).

Comments: This is one of the most diverse subgenera within Coelioxys, with at least 75 species assigned to it (Ascher \& Pickering, 2015). This subgenus is largely represented in the Afrotropical and Palaearctic regions, but some species are Indomalayan; at least one, Coelioxys smithii Dalla Torre, 1896, occurs in the Australasian region and one, C. coturnix, has been introduced to the United States from the Palaearctic region (Ascher \&

Pickering, 2015). Both these species exhibit the characteristics of the subgenus outlined above.

List of species: Coelioxys acanthopyga Alfken, 1940, Coelioxys acanthura (Illiger, 1806), C. afra, Coelioxys angulata Smith, 1870, C. argentea, Coelioxys artemis Schwarz, 2001, Coelioxys bifoliata Pasteels, 1968, Coelioxys bifoveolata Pasteels, 1968, Coelioxys binghami Pasteels, 1968, Coelioxys brevis Eversmann, 1852, Coelioxys bulbosa Pasteels, 1985, C. caeruleipennis, Coelioxys capitata Smith, 1854, Coelioxys carinicauda Pasteels, 1968, Coelioxys castanea Morawitz, 1886, Coelioxys caudata Spinola, 1838, Coelioxys coloratula Cockerell, 1939, Coelioxys congoensis Friese, 1922, Coelioxys conspersa Morawitz, 1874, Coelioxys cothura Cockerell, 1918, C. coturnix, Coelioxys cuneata Smith, 1875, Coelioxys desmieri Pasteels, 1968, Coelioxys difformis Friese, 1904, Coelioxys echinata Förster, 1853, Coelioxys elegantula Alfken, 1934, Coelioxys elongatula Alfken, 1938, Coelioxys elsei Schwarz, 2001, Coelioxys emarginata Förster, 1853, Coelioxys emarginatella Pasteels, 1982, Coelioxys erythrura Spinola, 1838, Coelioxys florea Wu, 2006, Coelioxys formosicola Strand, 1913, Coelioxys foveolata Smith, 1854, Coelioxys fuscipennis Smith, 1854, Coelioxys genoconcavitus Gupta, 1991, Coelioxys haemorrhoa Förster, 1853, C. incarinata, Coelioxys indica Friese, 1925, Coelioxys iranica Warncke, 1992, Coelioxys kasachstana Warncke, 1992, Coelioxys khasiana Cameron, 1904, Coelioxys lata Cameron, 1908, Coelioxys lucidicauda Cockerell, 1939, Coelioxys luzonica Cockerell, 1914, C. madagascariensis, Coelioxys mielbergi Morawitz, 1880, Coelioxys nasuta Friese, 1904, Coelioxys nitidicauda Pasteels, 1968, Coelioxys obtusa Pérez, 1884, Coelioxys octodenticulata Friese, 1935, Coelioxys perseus Nurse, 1904, Coelioxys polycentris Förster, 1853, Coelioxys pruinosa Smith, 1854, Coelioxys quadrifasciata Gupta, 1992, Coelioxys radoszkowskyi Popov, 1946, Coelioxys rajasthaniensis Gupta, 1992, Coelioxys recusata Schulz, 1906, Coelioxys rubella Pasteels, 1968, Coelioxys rufispina Walker, 1871, C. scutellotuberculata, Coelioxys semenowi Morawitz, 1894, Coelioxys sexmaculata Cameron, 1897, C. smithii, Coelioxys sogdiana Morawitz, 1875, Coelioxys somalica Friese, 1922, Coelioxys somalina Magretti, 1895, Coelioxys spativentris Friese, 1935, Coelioxys spilaspis Cockerell, 1932, Coelioxys squamigera Friese, 1935, Coelioxys squamosa Friese, 1922, Coelioxys squamosissima Pasteels, 1968, Coelioxys squamosoides Pasteels, 1968, Coelioxys squamosula Popov, 1946, Coelioxys subelongata Wu, 1992, Coelioxys sudanensis Cockerell, 1933, Coelioxys unicula Cockerell, 1939, and Coelioxys warnckei Schwarz \& Gusenleitner, 2003.

## Coelioxys (Boreocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973

Type species: Coelioxys rufitarsis Smith, 1854.
Diagnosis: Species in this subgenus are characterized by the combination of long, dense pubescence on head and mesosoma never accompanied by short, scale-like hairs, and with postgradular grooves of T2 and T3 complete (Fig. 24). This combination is also observed in all species of $C$. (Coelioxys s.s.). In C. (Boreocoelioxys) females, however, the S 6 is elongate, gradually tapering towards the apex and conspicuously notched subapically [Fig. 26; constricted subapically and never notched in both C. (Coelioxys s.s.) (Fig. 28) and C. (Xerocoelioxys) females (Fig. 14)]. In C. (Boreocoelioxys) males the postgradular grooves of T2 have a sublateral, slender fovea on each side (Fig. 27), whereas in males of C. (Coelioxys s.s.) and C. (Xerocoelioxys) spp. the postgradular area of T2 is never foveate, but instead with sublateral, slender, densely punctate area on each side (Fig. 29), or unmodified.

Comments: This subgenus has been regarded as mainly Holarctic (Michener, 2007), but as such it is very weakly supported (see Results and Discussion and Fig. 1). In contrast, the New World clade is well supported. In light of this, C. (Boreocoelioxys) is now defined as comprising only the New World species, among which the Nearctic species and Coelioxys oaxacana Baker, 1975 were revised and keyed by Baker (1975). There are two additional species from the Neotropics: Coelioxys sannicolarensis Genaro, 2001 and Coelioxys schmidti Friese, 1917. The following species are being transferred to other subgenera according to the phylogenetic results: C. alata, C. funeraria, and C. rufescens. The other species from the Palaeartic region that were previously assigned to C. (Boreocoelioxys) (Ascher \& Pickering, 2015) may belong to C. (Paracoelioxys) or to the new subgenus described herein to encompass C. rufescens; however, it is important to highlight that a revision of those species is necessary in order to establish an accurate classification for this fauna.

List of species: Coelioxys banksi Crawford, 1914, Coelioxys insita Cresson, 1872, Coelioxys moesta Cresson, 1864, Coelioxys novomexicana Cockerell,

1909, C. oaxacana, C. octodentata, Coelioxys porterae Cockerell, 1900, Coelioxys pratti Crawford, 1914, C. rufitarsis, Coelioxys salinaria Cockerell, 1925, C. sannicolarensis*, C. sayi, and C. schmidti*. The Neotropical species Coelioxys auripes Friese, 1921 also seems to belong to this subgenus given the complete postgradular grooves of T2 and T3.

## Coelioxys (Coelioxys S.S.) Latreille, 1809

Type species: Coelioxys quadridentata (Linnaeus, 1758).

Diagnosis: Coelioxys (Coelioxys s.s.) spp. is diagnosable through one unique characteristic found in no other species in the genus: the shape of the pronotal lobe, which is conspicuously rounded on its outer margin and weakly carinate on its inner margin (Fig. 30).

Comments: Mitchell (1973) established this subgenus based only on North American and European species. Michener (2007), on the other hand, considered it as cosmopolitan. Thirteen species previously assigned to C. (Coelioxys s.s.), such as Coelioxys serricaudata Baker, 1975 and the Australasian species (Michener, 1965; Baker, 1975), do not belong to the clade that contains the type species of the subgenus (see also Rocha-Filho, 2016 for the Australian species), C. quadridentata. As understood here, C. (Coelioxys s.s.) comprises only its type species and the Nearctic species Coelioxys sodalis Cresson, 1878.

List of species: Coelioxys quadridentata and C. sodalis.

Coelioxys (Cyrtocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973

Type species: Coelioxys costaricensis Cockerell, 1914.
Diagnosis: The most distinctive characteristic of C. (Cyrtocoelioxys) spp. is the shape of S6 in females: constricted near midlength, always ending in a spine-like process and with its lateral margins always bearing a fringe, which in most of the species is conspicuous, dense, and formed by long, brown hairs (Fig. 46). Additionally, the T6 in females ends

Figures 65-68. 65, female, Coelioxys agilis: dorsal view of T6 and S6 (S6 notch indicated by black arrow). 66, male, Coelioxys zapoteca. Dorsal view of T2-T3 (punctate areas indicated by red arrows). 67, female, Coelioxys barbata: dorsal view of T6 and S 6 ( S 6 notch indicated by black arrow). 68, male, Coelioxys clypearis: dorsal view of T2-T3 (punctate areas indicated by black arrows). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .



Figures 69-72. Female. 69, Coelioxys cayennensis: dorsal view of mesoscutellum (for posterior margin). 70, Coelioxys praetextata: lateral view of S1 (basomedial area indicated by black arrow). 71, Coelioxys alata: dorsal view of mesoscutellum (for posterior margin). 72, Coelioxys funeraria: lateral view of S1 (basomedial area indicated by black arrow). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .
in a short spine-like process, its dorsal carina is strong, and separates two elliptical depressions on either side, and the subapical area is covered with tomentum and scattered long, erect, dark hairs (Fig. 46). These characteristics are similar to those found in females of the Old World subgenus C. (Liothyrapis), but in those the spine-like process of T6 is always elongate and conspicuous (Fig. 9) and their compound eyes are bare (Fig. 4). In males (among the species in which holotypes have been studied), except in C. costaricensis, the postgradular area of T3 bears a circular fovea composed of small
punctures, it is hairy and surrounded by punctures forming a transverse area (Fig. 47). This characteristic is also observed in males of C. (Dasycoelioxys) (Fig. 80), but they can be differentiated by the lack of a carina on the basal concavity of T1 (Fig. 43).

Comments: This is the most speciose subgenus in the Neotropics, with more than 60 species names assigned to it (Moure et al., 2012; Ascher \& Pickering, 2015). An updated list with the description of three new species, two synonyms, and


Figures 73-76. Female. 73, Coelioxys cayennensis: frontal view of pronotal lobe (outer margin indicated by black arrow). 74, Coelioxys cerasiopleura: lateral view of head (posterior margin of compound eye indicated by black arrow). 75, 76, Coelioxys pergandei: 75, frontal view of pronotal lobe (outer margin indicated by black arrow); 76, lateral view of head (posterior margin of compound eye indicated by black arrow). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .
also a key to the Mexican species are provided by Rocha-Filho (2015). A few species, denoted in the following list with a section sign symbol (§), occur in the Nearctic region (Baker, 1975; Ascher \& Pickering, 2015).

List of species: Coelioxys abnormis Holmberg, 1887, Coelioxys acanthosara Rocha-Filho, 2015*, Coelioxys alayoi Genaro, 2001*, Coelioxys alisal Toro \& Fritz, 1993*, Coelioxys ambrosettii Holmberg, 1918, Coelioxys angelica Cockerell, 1905§, Coelioxys balasto Toro \& Fritz, 1993*, Coelioxys brachyvalva Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys burgdorfi Cockerell, 1931*,

Coelioxys chichimeca Cresson, 1878*, Coelioxys cisnerosi Cockerell, 1949*, Coelioxys cochleariformis Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys coloboptyche Holmberg, 1887, Coelioxys columbica Friese, 1921*, C. costaricensis*, Coelioxys danielperezi Genaro, 2009*, Coelioxys deani Cockerell, 1909§, Coelioxys digitata Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys dobzhanskyi Moure, 1951, Coelioxys duckei Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys fimbriata Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys floridana Cresson, 1878*§, Coelioxys fossulata Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys giacomellii Holmberg 1916, Coelioxys gilensis Cockerell, 1898§, Coelioxys gonaspis Cockerell, 1924§, Coelioxys hirtiventris Popov, 1946*, Coelioxys


Figures 77-80. 77, female, Coelioxys praetextata: dorsal view of T6 and S6 (S6 notch indicated by black arrow). 78, Coelioxys cayennensis: dorsal view of T2-T3 (punctate areas and foveae indicated by red arrows). 79, Coelioxys pergandei: dorsal view of T6 and S6 (S6 notch indicated by black arrow). 80, Coelioxys kuscheli: dorsal view of T2-T3 (fovea indicated by red arrow). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .
humahuakae Holmberg, 1909, Coelioxys issororensis Cockerell, 1923*, Coelioxys jordiana Rocha-Filho, 2015*, Coelioxys lactea Rocha-Filho, 2015*, Coelioxys leopoldensis Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys leopoldinae Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys litoralis Holmberg, 1888, Coelioxys macaria Holmberg, 1916, Coelioxys
marginata Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys modesta Smith, 1854§, Coelioxys nigrofimbriata Cockerell, 1919*, Coelioxys noa Toro \& Fritz, 1993*, Coelioxys obscuriventris Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys obtusivalva Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys obtusiventris Crawford, 1914§, Coelioxys paradoxa Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys


Figures 81 and 82. Female, frontal view of head (outer surface of mandible indicated by black arrows): 81, Coelioxys funeraria; 82, Coelioxys conoidea. Scale bars: 1.5 mm .
paranensis Schrottky, 1920, Coelioxys paraguaya Moure, 1943*, Coelioxys pauloensis Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys pedregalensis Holmberg, 1916, Coelioxys pilivalva Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys puncticollis Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys quaerens Holmberg, 1903*, Coelioxys quechua Toro \& Fritz, 1993, Coelioxys rhadia Holmberg, 1916, Coelioxys rugicollis Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys rugulosa Friese, 1908*, Coelioxys saltensis Toro \& Fritz, 1993*, Coelioxys sanguinicollis Friese, 1921*§, Coelioxys sanguinosa Cockerell, 1912*, Coelioxys schulzi Holmberg, 1909, Coelioxys scitula Cresson, 1872*§, Coelioxys spatulata Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys speculifera Cockerell, 1931*§, Coelioxys spinosa Dewitz, 1881, Coelioxys subspinosa Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys subtropicalis Holmberg, 1887, Coelioxys surinamensis Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys tabayensis Schrottky, 1920, Coelioxys tastil Toro \& Fritz, 1993*, Coelioxys tiburonensis Cockerell, 1924§, Coelioxys trancas Toro \& Fritz, 1993*, Coelioxys tridentata (Fabricius, 1775), Coelioxys triangula Friese, 1906*, Coelioxys unidentata Friese, 1922*, and Coelioxys zonula Smith, 1854*.

## Coelioxys (Dasycoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973

Type species: Coelioxys pergandei Schletterer, 1890.
Diagnosis: Species of this subgenus as well as all C. (Glyptocoelioxys) spp. other than C. mexicana are unique among all species in the genus by lacking a carina on the basal concavity of T1 (Fig. 43). Coelioxys (Dasycoelioxys) spp. can be differentiated
from C. (Glyptocoelioxys) by the pronotal tubercle, which in is never lamellate or conspicuously emarginated in C. (Dasycoelioxys) spp., forming two distinct lobes (Fig. 75), as in C. (Glyptocoelioxys) spp. (Fig. 73). Also, the pubescence of the head and mesosoma in species of C. (Glyptocoelioxys) is short and subappressed, forming spots of appressed hairs on the mesoscutum, whereas in species of C. (Dasycoelioxys) the pilosity is predominantly long and dense, although sometimes there are additional spots of appressed hairs also. The following combination also separates species of C. (Dasycoelioxys) from C. (Glyptocoelioxys). Female: eye surface not convex ventrally (Fig. 76); T6 lacking lateral carinae (Fig. 79); S6 greatly narrower and elongate, at least $2.5 \times$ longer than broad, strongly flexed downwards, lateral notches narrower, at least $2.5 \times$ longer than broad (Fig. 79) or absent. Male: face densely covered with long, erect or suberect hairs; postgradular area of T2 with a broad, rectangular, densely punctate area (Fig. 80); postgradular area of T3 with a circular, deep, conspicuous fovea surrounded by punctures forming an elliptical area (Fig. 80), and apical rim of S4 flexed ventrally (as in Fig. 93).

Comments: Given the similarity with species of C. (Glyptocoelioxys), Michener (2007) synonymized C. (Dasycoelioxys) under C. (Glyptocoelioxys). In all phylogenetic results, C. (Glyptocoelioxys) came out as a separate clade from C. (Dasycoelioxys); hence the latter name is resurrected. This subgenus is exclusively Neotropical, with 27 species listed by Moure et al. (2012) occurring mostly in Chile and


Figures 83-86. Female. 83, 84, Coelioxys rufescens: 83, dorsal view of mesoscutellum (for pilosity on anterior margin of mesoscutellum); 84, dorsal view of terga (for apical tergal fasciae). 85, 86, Coelioxys conoidea: 85, dorsal view of mesoscutellum (for pilosity on anterior margin of mesoscutellum); 86, dorsal view of terga (for apical tergal fasciae). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .

Argentina. Toro \& Fritz (1991) keyed some of the species of this subgenus.

List of species: Coelioxys bicingulata Holmberg, 1918*, Coelioxys wagenknechti Moure, 1951, Coelioxys bruneri Cockerell, 1918*, Coelioxys cameghinoi Holmberg, 1903, Coelioxys elizabeth Toro \& Fritz, 1991*, Coelioxys frieseana Holmberg, 1916, Coelioxys genisei Toro \& Fritz, 1991*, Coelioxys hickeni Holmberg, 1918*, Coelioxys hubrichiana Holmberg, 1918*, Coelioxys inconspicua Holmberg, 1884, Coelioxys insolita Holmberg, 1903, Coelioxys kuscheli Moure, 1951, Coelioxys ljuba Toro \& Fritz, 1991*, Coelioxys lyprura Moure, 1951, Coelioxys
mapuche Toro \& Fritz, 1991*, Coelioxys melanopus Schulz, 1906, Coelioxys miranda Vachal, 1904*, Coelioxys occidentalis Holmberg, 1916, Coelioxys oriplanes Moure, 1951, Coelioxys palmaris Fritz \& Toro, 1990, C. pergandei, Coelioxys pomona Toro \& Fritz, 1991*, Coelioxys remissa Holmberg, 1888*, Coelioxys roigi Fritz \& Toro, 1990*, Coelioxys rosarina Holmberg, 1918*, Coelioxys ruizi Moure, 1951, Coelioxys ruzi Toro \& Fritz, 1991*, Coelioxys strigata Vachal, 1904*, Coelioxys tehuelche Holmberg, 1916, Coelioxys tenax Holmberg, 1888, Coelioxys tucumana Holmberg, 1903, C. wagenknechti, and Coelioxys weyrauchi Moure, 1951.


Figures 87-90. 87, 88, Coelioxys rufescens: 87, female, ventral view of S6 (S6 notch indicated by black arrow); 88, male, dorsal view of T2 (fovea indicated by black arrow). 89, 90, Coelioxys conoidea: 89, female, dorsal view of T6 and $\mathrm{S} 6 ; 90$, male, dorsal view of T2 (fovea indicated by black arrow). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .

Coelioxys (Glyptocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973: 92
= Coelioxys (Haplocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973: 85 syn. nov.

Type species: Coelioxys cayennensis Spinola, 1841.

Diagnosis: Species of this subgenus are characterized by the compound eye convex ventrally (Fig. 74), S6 distinctly notched subapically in females (Fig. 77), and in males the postgradular areas of T2 and T3 with a small fovea surrounded by an impunctate area with a depressed punctate area posteriorly to the fovea (Fig. 78; except in


Figures 91-93. 91, female, Coelioxys conoidea: ventral view of S6 (S6 ventral keel indicated by black arrow). 92, 93, male: 92, Coelioxys rufescens, ventral view of S4 (apical margin indicated by red arrow); 93, Coelioxys conoidea, ventral view of S4 (apical rim indicated by black arrow). Scale bars: 1.5 mm .
C. chilensis males). Another important characteristic, observed in all except the type species C. mexicana, is the lack of a marginal carina on the basal concavity of T1 (Fig. 43), a characteristic otherwise shared with C. (Dasycoelioxys) spp. In spite of the resemblance to the latter subgenus, C. (Glyptocoelioxys) spp. can be differentiated from those of C. (Dasycoelioxys) by the following combination of characteristics (see also the diagnosis of the latter subgenus above). Pronotal tubercle emarginate, forming two lobes, with carina conspicuously lamellate (Fig. 73); pubescence of head and mesosoma short, predominantly forming spots of appressed hairs on mesoscutum. Female: eye outline distinctly convex ventrally (Fig. 74); T6 with lateral carinae (Fig. 77); S6 elongate, at most $2 \times$ longer than broad, lateral notches broader, at least $2.5 \times$ broader than long (Fig. 77). Male: face densely covered with decumbent hairs; postgradular areas of T2 and T3 with a small fovea surrounded by an elevated, transverse impunctate area with a depressed, transverse densely punctate area below, the fovea on T2 minute, circular, and on T2 slender (Fig. 78) (postgradular areas of T2-T3 unmodified in C. chilensis), and apical rim of S 4 flat, not flexed ventrally (as in Fig. 64).

Comments: Prior to this study, the name C. (Haplocoelioxys) had been applied only to C. mexicana (Mitchell, 1973; Michener, 2007; Moure et al., 2012; Ascher \& Pickering, 2015). Considering
the phylogenetic results (Figs 1-3) C. (Haplocoelioxys) is merged with C. (Glyptocoelioxys). In spite of page priority for C. (Haplocoelioxys), the name C. (Glyptocoelioxys) is preferred here based upon article 24.2.2 of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature that states '...the precedence of the names or acts is fixed by the First Reviser unless Article 24.1 applies'. Given that the first revision concerning this matter is carried out herein, we decided to synonymize C. (Haplocoelioxys) under C. (Glyptocoelioxys) because the former is monotypic, hence only one subgeneric reassignment is required rather than 27 . Species of this subgenus are primarily Neotropical, with only Coelioxys germana Cresson, 1878 recorded elsewhere, in the eastern Nearctic region.

List of species: Coelioxys acutivalva Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys adani Cockerell, 1949*, Coelioxys amazonica Schrottky, 1902, Coelioxys beroni Schrottky, 1902, Coelioxys brasiliensis Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys bucephala Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys cearensis Friese, 1921*, C. cayennensis*, Coelioxys cerasiopleura Holmberg, 1903, Coelioxys chilensis Reed, 1892, Coelioxys concolor Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys epaenete Holmberg, 1916, C. germana*, Coelioxys ignava Smith, 1879*, Coelioxys labiosa Moure, 1951, Coelioxys laticeps Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys lativalva Holmberg, 1903, C. mexicana*, Coelioxys nitidicollis Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys opacicollis Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys pampeana

Figure 94. A-F, female. A-C, dorsal view of hemitergite 7 (apodemal region indicated by black arrow, lateral process indicated by red arrow): A, Coelioxys ducalis; B, Coelioxys alatiformis; C, Coelioxys caudata. D-F, lateral view of hemitergite 8 (postero expansion indicated by black arrow): D, Coelioxys torrida; E, Coelioxys nasuta; F, Coelioxys porterae (apex of dorsal arm of furcula indicated by red arrow). G-L, male: G, dorsal view of T7 of Coelioxys bisoncornua; H, dorsal view of S7 of Coelioxys apacheorum; I, dorsal view of S8 of Coelioxys alternata (tip producing on apex indicated by black arrow). J-L, genitalia: J, Coelioxys abdominalis; K, Coelioxys apicata; L, Coelioxys sodalis. Scale bars: 1 mm .


Holmberg, 1887, Coelioxys praetextata Haliday, 1836*, Coelioxys quadriceps Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys ruficollis Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys scutellaris Schrottky, 1902, Coelioxys totonaca Cresson, 1878*, Coelioxys triodonta Cockerell, 1914*, and Coelioxys vituperabilis Holmberg, 1903.

## Coelioxys (Liothyrapis) Cockerell, 1911

$=$ Coelioxys (Hemicoelioxys) Pasteels, 1968.
Type species: Coelioxys apicata Smith, 1854.
Diagnosis: Species of the subgenera C. (Liothyrapis) and C. (Torridapis), as well as C. (Allocoelioxys) madagascariensis are the only ones within Coelioxys with bare eyes (Fig. 4). The species C. madagascariensis is differentiated from C. (Liothyrapis) spp. by the sharply carinate lateral margins of the axilla (Fig. 6). The most easily observed characteristics that separate C. (Liothyrapis) spp. from C. (Torridapis) are [alternative conditions in C. (Torridapis) are given in parentheses]: axilla short, free apical portion not produced beyond posterior margin of mesoscutellum (Figs 7, 8) (long, free apical portion produced beyond posterior margin of mesoscutellum; Fig. 11); T6 in females ending in a long spine-like process, with some long, erect hairs subapically (Fig. 9) (T6 ends in a very short, obsolescent spine-like process and lacks long, erect hairs subapically; Fig. 12), and S6 in females broad and constricted medially, bearing a dense fringe marginally (Fig. 9) (S6 very elongate, never constricted medially and lacking a dense fringe on lateral margins; Fig. 12).

Comments: This subgenus is mostly Afrotropical, with some species in the Indomalayan region and others in the Palaearctic. Additionally, one species, C. apicata, has been introduced into Puerto Rico (Genaro \& Franz, 2008). There are at least 24 species assigned to C. (Liothyrapis) (Ascher \& Pickering, 2015). The Afrotropical fauna was revised by Pasteels (1968).

List of species: Coelioxys aberrans Morawitz, 1894, Coelioxys albociliata Pasteels, 1968, C. apicata, Coelioxys bruneipes Pasteels, 1968, Coelioxys calabarensis Pasteels, 1968, Coelioxys cavigena Pasteels, 1968, Coelioxys cherenensis Friese, 1913, Coelioxys chionospila Cockerell, 1935, Coelioxys circumscripta Schulz, 1906, C. decipiens, Coelioxys dormitans Cockerell, 1919, Coelioxys elongativentris (Pasteels, 1977), Coelioxys gracillima (Pasteels, 1977), Coelioxys heterozona Cockerell, 1939, Coelioxys integra Pasteels, 1968, Coelioxys junodi

Friese, 1904, Coelioxys lativentris Friese, 1909, Coelioxys luangwana Cockerell, 1939, Coelioxys neavei Vachal, 1910, Coelioxys reticulata Pasteels, 1968, Coelioxys rotundicauda Cockerell, 1935, Coelioxys rotundiscutum (Pasteels, 1977), Coelioxys scioensis Gribodo, 1879, Coelioxys umbripennis Friese, 1922, and Coelioxys verticalis Smith, 1854.

## Coelioxys (Neocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973: 64

$=$ Coelioxys (Acrocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973: 71 syn. nov.
$=$ Coelioxys (Melanocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973: 78 syn. nov.

Type species: Coelioxys simillima Smith, 1854.
Diagnosis: Most species of C. (Neocoelioxys) can be diagnosed through the combination of supraclypeal area elevated medially, forming a rounded ridge in both sexes (Fig. 53) (not elevated; Fig. 54), and T5 lacking lateral spines in males (Fig. 61). Nevertheless, C. otomita does not possess the former characteristic, and C. dolichos and C. abdominalis do not possess the second; however, all three can be correctly assigned using the key. Both these characteristics also show parallelisms elsewhere within Coelioxys, but only in Old World species that are very different morphologically, with the sole exception of C. bisoncornua from North America, but this species has the postgradular grooves of both T2 and T3 complete (Fig. 24), whereas they are broadly interrupted medially (Fig. 25) in all C. (Neocoelioxys) spp.

Comments: As the type species of both C. (Acrocoelioxys) and C. (Melanocoelioxys) were nested among C. (Neocoelioxys) spp., the latter is regarded as a valid subgenus with which the other two are now synonymized. Species of this subgenus are mostly Neotropical, with several species distributed in the Caribbean, and a few: Coelioxys dolichos Fox, 1890, Coelioxys menthae Cockerell, 1897, and Coelioxys slossoni Viereck, 1902 found in the Nearctic. In both Moure et al. (2012) and Ascher \& Pickering (2015) databases, many species are listed as C. (Acrocoelioxys), but three are assigned herein to C. (Leuraspidia), and Coelioxys praetextata Haliday, 1836 is regarded as belonging to C. (Glyptocoelioxys), based upon the results of the phylogenetic analyses.

List of species: Coelioxys abdominalis GuérinMéneville, 1844*, Coelioxys aculeata Schrottky, 1902, Coelioxys albifrons Friese, 1916*, Coelioxys bipustulata Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys brachypyga

Friese, 1921*, C. dolichos*, Coelioxys eximia Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys foxii Viereck, 1902*, Coelioxys laevicollis Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys laevigata Smith, 1854*, Coelioxys laevis Friese, 1921*, C. menthae*, C. otomita*, Coelioxys popovi Strand, 1934*, Coelioxys producta Cresson, 1865*, C. rufipes*, C. simillima*, C. slossoni*, Coelioxys spinipyga Strand, 1910*, Coelioxys tolteca Cresson, 1878*, Coelioxys trispinosa Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys turbinata Krombein, 1953*, and Coelioxys vigilans Smith, 1879*.

Coelioxys (Paracoelioxys) Gribodo, 1884
$=$ Coelioxys (Schizocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973 syn. nov.
Type species: Coelioxys alata Förster, 1853.
Diagnosis: This subgenus can be differentiated from all the other subgenera based upon the mandible that is angled dorsally on the outer surface in females (Fig. 81). Coelioxys (Paracoelioxys) spp. are similar to species of $C$. (Boreocoelioxys), but can be distinguished by the postgradular grooves of $\mathrm{T} 2-\mathrm{T} 3$, which are broadly interrupted medially in the former (Fig. 25) and complete in the latter (Fig. 24). They can also be differentiated from species of both of the new subgenera described herein, C. (Melissoctonia) subgen. nov. and C. (Rozeniana) subgen. nov., as well as from all other subgenera, by the following combination of characteristics. Axilla very short, free apical portion much shorter than basal portion, and not produced beyond posterior margin of mesoscutellum (Fig. 71); apical fascia on T1 nearly absent, restricted to the lateral margins of tergum; apical fasciae on T2-T5 reduced medially or slightly interrupted. Female: mandible angled (Fig. 81); S6 apex elongate, longer than broad, notches acute, narrow (Fig. 26). Male: postgradular area of T2 with sublateral, elliptical, slender fovea on each side (Fig. 88) (Baker, 1975: fig. 25B) and gonocoxa subapically not compressed (Fig. 94L) (Baker, 1975: fig. 19F).

Comments: This subgenus had previously been regarded as a synonym of C. (Coelioxys s.s.) (see comments on that subgenus above), whereas C. (Schizocoelioxys) was synonymized under C. (Boreocoelioxys) by Michener (2007). The subgenus C. (Paracoelioxys) is resurrected here based on the phylogenetic results (see Results and Discussion). Whereas C. (P.) alata is Palaearctic, C. funeraria is restricted to North America (Ascher \& Pickering, 2015).

List of species: C. alata and C.funeraria. Some other Palaearctic species, Coelioxys hosoba Nagase,

2003, Coelioxys hiroba Nagase, 2003, Coelioxys inermis (Kirby, 1802), Coelioxys mandibularis Nylander, 1848, and Coelioxys elongata (Lepeletier, 1841), might also belong to this subgenus as they share the modified mandible in the female.

Coelioxys (Platycoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973

Type species: Coelioxys alatiformis Friese, 1921.
Diagnosis: This monotypic subgenus can be distinguished from all other Coelioxys subgenera by the shape of S 5 in females, which is spatulate and greatly expanded towards the apex, its margins somewhat swollen and shining, and ventral surface convex (Fig. 44), and by the postgradular areas of T2-T3 in males that are foveate in a manner not found in other subgenera (Fig. 45): on T2, the fovea is elliptical and covered with minute whitish hairs, whereas in T3 it is smaller and circular (Fig. 45). In females from other subgenera the S 5 is elongate towards apex, never spatulate nor greatly expanded, except in C. (Paracoelioxys) alata, in which the S5 margins are greatly expanded but straight, never swollen, as in C. alatiformis (Fig. 44); the ventral surface of S 5 is concave and the apex is broad and truncate, in contrast to the convex surface and ellipsoid apex in C. alatiformis. The fovea on the postgradular area of T2 of males from other subgenera is never elliptical, hairy and deep, but slender as in C. conoidea (Fig. 90), C. rufescens, C. (Paracoelioxys) (Fig. 88), and C. (Boreocoelioxys) (Fig. 27); minute and circular, as in C. (Glyptocoelioxys) (Fig. 78), except C. chilensis [see Discussion of C. (Glyptocoelioxys)], and circular and bare, as in C.reginae, C. albolineata, and C. confusa.

Comments: This subgenus comprises only one species, C. alatiformis, which ranges from Mexico to Argentina (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015).

List of species: Coelioxys alatiformis*.

Coelioxys (Rhinocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973

Type species: Coelioxys zapoteca Cresson, 1878.
Diagnosis: The following characteristics combined (four for either sex) separate species of C. (Rhinocoelioxys) from all the other subgenera. Mesoscutellum with a distinct longitudinal median ridge (Fig. 51); posterior margin of mesoscutellum obtusely produced medially into a blunt edge
(Fig. 51); axilla long, free apical portion longer or subequal to basal portion (Fig. 51); ocular hairs long $(\sim 0.12 \mathrm{~mm})$ (Fig. 5). Female: S 6 lateral notches obsolete, a weak impression, not interrupting outline in dorsal view (Fig. 65). Male: postgradular areas of T2 and T3 with a transverse, punctate area either formed by punctures that may be fused or by few smaller punctures basally and larger punctures apicolaterally (Fig. 66).

Comments: The phylogenetic results indicate that C. (Rhinocoelioxys) as previously defined is polyphyletic. The type species C. zapoteca as well as C. agilis and Coelioxys platygnatha Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015 are in a different clade from the other four species that were included by Rocha-Filho \& Packer (2015), which are now transferred to C. (Austrocleptria) subgen. nov. (see below). The three species are Neotropical. Rocha-Filho \& Packer (2015) revised and keyed the species of this subgenus [as well as those of C. (Austrocleptria) subgen. nov.].

List of species: Coelioxys agilis*, C. platygnatha*, and C. zapoteca*.

## Coelioxys (Synocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973

Type species: Coelioxys texana Cresson, 1872.
Diagnosis: This is the only Coelioxys subgenus and perhaps the only members of the Megachilini in which the male S 7 is bilobed (Fig. 94H) rather than divided into two small sclerites (Baker, 1975: fig. 26D). Some characteristics such as the scalelike pubescence in parts of the body (Fig. 32), the inner ramus of pretarsal claws in females acute (Fig. 36), and apicodorsal processes of T6 in males forked (Fig. 34) are shared only with species of the Old World subgenus C. (Allocoelioxys). Species belonging to C. (Synocoelioxys) are characterized by the following combination of characteristics that separates them from all other subgenera. Median ocellus surrounded by a swollen and nearly impunctate area (Fig. 32). Female: lateral margins of T 6 apex with long thick hairs forming an inconspicuous fringe (Fig. 33); S6 rounded or elliptical, not notched and straight (Fig. 33), not flexed downwards. Male: T6 apicodorsal processes forked, with processes flattened, pointed, and irregular, almost forming a crenulate plate (Fig. 34), and T7 exposed, with a long median tooth-like process medially (Baker, 1975: fig. 13A).

Comments: Species of C. (Synocoelioxys) are primarily Nearctic; five of them were revised and keyed by Baker (1975); another two, Coelioxys toltecoides Cockerell, 1923 from Mexico and Coelioxys tegularis Cresson, 1869 from Cuba, are also assigned to the subgenus (Ascher \& Pickering, 2015).

List of species: Coelioxys alternata Say, 1837, Coelioxys apacheorum Cockerell, 1900, Coelioxys erysimi Cockerell, 1912, Coelioxys hunteri Crawford, 1914, C. tegularis*, C. texana, and C. toltecoides.

## Coelioxys (Torridapis) Pasteels, 1977

Type species: Coelioxys torrida Smith, 1854.
Diagnosis: With C. (Liothyrapis) and the species C. (A.) madagascariensis, this Old World subgenus is the only one within Coelioxys in which the compound eyes are bare (Fig. 4). Species of C. (Torridapis) can be distinguished from C. madagascariensis by the lateral margins of axilla neither elevated nor carinate (Fig. 11) (conspicuously elevated and carinate in the latter; Fig. 6). They can be separated from those of $C$. (Liothyrapis) by the following list of characteristics. Axilla long, free apical portion produced beyond the posterior margin of mesoscutellum, and curved apically (Fig. 11); mesoscutellum with a dorsal median carina at least basally (Fig. 11). Female: T6 lacking long, erect, thick hairs, with apex ending in an inconspicuous, short, spine-like process (Fig. 12); T6 and S6 gradually tapering, greatly elongate, S 6 folded, subacute apically, its lateral margins with an inconspicuous fringe composed of short brownish hairs (Fig. 12). Male: hypostomal area lacking concavity; T6 basally lacking, or with short, flattened lateral expanded areas, never with long, acute teeth (Fig. 13); S4 medially sulcate, hairy, and S6 long, $\geq 1.2 \times$ longer than broad.

Comments: Ten species are classified in this subgenus (Ascher \& Pickering, 2015), with a new one described by Rocha-Filho (2016); the four Afrotropical species were revised by Pasteels (1968) and classified as Liothyrapis, the other species come from Indomalayan or Palaearctic regions, Mauritius or Madagascar.

List of species: Coelioxys analis Friese, 1911, Coelioxys basalis Smith, 1875, Coelioxys ducalis Smith, 1854, Coelioxys fenestrata Smith, 1873, Coelioxys julia Rocha-Filho, 2016*, Coelioxys
kosemponis Strand, 1913, Coelioxys maculata Friese, 1913, Coelioxys maculoides Pasteels, 1968, C. torrida, Coelioxys torridula Pasteels, 1968, and Coelioxys weinlandi Schulz, 1904.

Coelioxys (Xerocoelioxys) Mitchell, 1973

Type species: Coelioxys edita Cresson, 1872.
Diagnosis: Species in this subgenus are very similar to C. (Coelioxys s.s.) spp., but can be differentiated by the pronotal lobe distinctly lamellate (Fig. 31) or carinate throughout, whereas in species of C. (Coelioxys s.s.) the pronotal lobe is conspicuously rounded on its outer margin and weakly carinate on its inner margin (Fig. 30). Another difference between species of these two subgenera is in the mesosomal pilosity, which is short, composed of subappressed or appressed hairs, forming marginal lines or spots on the mesoscutum in C. (Xerocoelioxys) spp. (Fig. 31), contrasting with the long and dense pubescence in species of C. (Coelioxys s.s.) (Fig. 30). The following combination of characteristics together with the two preceding ones separate species of C. (Xerocoelioxys) from all other subgenera. Mesoscutum coarsely and densely punctate, no interspaces linear to shorter than puncture diameter (as in Figs 83, 85); postgradular grooves of T2-T3 complete, uninterrupted medially (Fig. 24), and gradulus of T2 bowed posteriorly.

Comments: Baker (1975) revised and keyed ten species in this subgenus. As a result of our phylogenies, C. serricaudata, previously placed in C. (Coelioxys s.s.), is now regarded as belonging to C. (Xerocoelioxys). Also, considering the diagnostic characteristics of this subgenus, the other three North American species assigned by Baker (1975) to C. (Coelioxys s.s.), Coelioxys hirsutissima Cockerell, 1912, Coelioxys immaculata Cockerell, 1912, and Coelioxys mitchelli Baker, 1975, should be classified as C. (Xerocoelioxys). This subgenus is predominantly Nearctic but with some species reaching the Neotropical region of Mexico.

List of species: Coelioxys aperta Cresson, 1878, Coelioxys bisoncornua Hill, 1936, Coelioxys boharti Mitchell, 1962, C. edita, Coelioxys galactiae Mitchell, 1962, Coelioxys grindeliae Cockerell, 1900, C. hirsutissima, C. immaculata, Coelioxys mesae Cockerell, 1921, C. mitchelli, Coelioxys nodis Baker, 1975, Coelioxys piercei Crawford, 1914, C. serricaudata, C. soror, and Coelioxys soledadensis Cockerell, 1909.
Six new subgenera are described as follows.

# Coelioxys (Austrocleptria) Rocha-Filho SUBGEN. NOV. <br> http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org: act:0F9870B7-254E-45E3-8C21-7045E431BFEF 

Type species: Coelioxys barbata Schwarz \& Michener, 1954.

Diagnosis: The following combination of characteristics for either sex distinguishes the new subgenus from all other subgenera (alternative conditions in parentheses): for both sexes, axilla short, with free apical portion shorter than the basal portion (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 3I, 5C, 9D) (free apical portion longer than basal portion); S6 lateral notches in females acute (Fig. 67) [obsolete notches in C. (Callosarissa) and C. (Rhinocoelioxys) (Figs 38, 65), notches absent in C. (Liothyrapis) (Fig. 9), C. (Torridapis) (Fig. 12), C. (Allocoelioxys) (Figs 17, 21), C. (Synocoelioxys) (Fig. 33), C. (Cyrtocoelioxys) (Fig. 46), C. (Coelioxys s.s.) (Fig. 28), C. (Melissoctonia) (Fig. 89), and C. (Xerocoelioxys), except C. (X.) aperta, C. bisoncornua, and C. edita (Fig. 14), in which notches are present but obtuse], and apical margin of S 4 in males deeply emarginate, forming a U-shaped process with arms flattened, elongate, and separated from one another, and with the distance between them more than half their length (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs $3 \mathrm{~L}, 5 \mathrm{I}, 7 \mathrm{I}, 9 \mathrm{~L}$ ) [apical margin produced into two robust, broad arms in C. (T.) weinlandi, C. (A.) erythrura, and C. (A.) afra; U-shaped process with arms robust, thick and separated from one another, and with the distance between them more than half their length in C. (G.) germana and C. (G.) mexicana (Fig. 49); Ushaped process arms elongate, sharply elevated, and close to one another, and with the distance between them equal to half their length in C. (L.) bertonii, C. (Cy.) gonaspis, and C. (D.) kuscheli; all other subgenera lack such processes].

Description: Supraclypeal area not elevated or keeled (Fig. 54); ocular hairs short ( $0.07-0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$; Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 3B); area around mid ocellus flat, not swollen or carinate (as in Fig. 54) or swollen only anteriorly in C. barbata (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 3G); pronotal tubercle lamellate and emarginate, forming two distinct lobes (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 7B); mesoscutum densely punctate, interspace between punctures subequal to or larger than puncture diameter (Fig. 51); mesoscutellum pilosity anteriorly with hairs forming an uninterrupted line (Figs 51, 69); posterior margin of mesoscutellum medially either produced into a flat tooth-like process (RochaFilho \& Packer, 2015: figs 3I, 9D) or rounded, not
produced (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 5C, 7F); axilla short, its free apical portion shorter than basal portion (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 5C); basal concavity of T1 carinate (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 7G); postgradular grooves of T2-T3 broadly interrupted medially (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 7G). Female: clypeus apically either emarginate (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 5B, 7B) or with a tuberculate process (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 3B, 9B, 9C); pretarsal claw lacking inner ramus (as in Fig. 82); apical fasciae of T2-T5 complete (as in Fig. 84); T6 dorsal carina apically either conspicuous, elevated, and rounded (Fig. 67) or inconspicuously keeled, keel not sharply elevated (Fig. 65); S6 lateral notches acute, distinctly separated from marginal area (Fig. 67). Male: gena surface not excavated (as in Fig. 15); hypostomal concavity deep, bare at least on posterior margin (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 7E); postgradular areas of T2 and T3 with a conspicuous, elliptical, transverse, punctate area, formed by punctures of the same size (Fig. 68); apical fasciae of T2-T5 broadly interrupted medially (Fig. 68); T5 lateral spines short, at most as long as wide, not reaching the apex of apical rim (as in Fig. 63); apical margin of S4 deeply emarginate, forming a U-shaped process, arms flattened, elongate, and separate from one another, and with the distance between them more than half their length emarginate (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: figs 3L, 5I, 7I, 9L).

Comments: Prior to this phylogenetic study the species within this subgenus were regarded as belonging to C. (Rhinocoelioxys), as described in Rocha-Filho \& Packer (2015). Species within this subgenus are restricted to the Neotropical region.

Etymology: From the Latin word auster (= 'south') and Greek word кגغ́л $\tau \eta \varsigma$ (kleptes = 'thief'), 'Thief from the South', referring to the subgeneric distributional range (through most of South America) in combination with their cleptoparasitic behaviour.

List of species: C. barbata*, C. clypearis*, C. nasidens*, and C. paraguayensis.

## Coelioxys (Callosarissa) ROCHA-Filho SUBGEN. NOV.

http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org: act:326AC07E-CA54-4799-BA8A-711649B3A044

Type species: Coelioxys albolineata Cockerell, 1905.
Diagnosis: The following combination of characteristics for either males or females
distinguishes C. (Callosarissa) subgen. nov. from species of all subgenera [alternative condition(s) in parentheses]. For both sexes, mesoscutellum sparsely punctate, interspaces between punctures larger than puncture diameter (Fig. 35) [coarsely punctate (Figs 6, 7, 83, 85), densely punctate, interspaces linear to subequal to puncture diameter]; axilla short, free apical portion shorter than basal portion (Fig. 35) (free apical portion longer than basal portion; Fig. 69). Female: pretarsal claw bifurcate (pretarsal claw lacking inner ramus); S 6 notches obsolete, not interrupting outline in dorsal view (Fig. 38) [notches absent (Fig. 28), obtuse (Fig. 14), or acute (Fig. 40)]. Male: gena deeply excavated along posterior margin of eye (Fig. 39) (not excavated; Fig. 15), excavation linear, anterior and posterior margins nearly parallel (Fig. 39) [enlarged posteriorly, not linear in C. (Eingana) subgen. nov., the only other subgenus with an excavation (Fig. 41)]. Males of this subgenus as well as those of C. (Eingana) subgen. nov. are the only Coelioxys in which the gena is both deeply excavated and densely hairy along the posterior margin of the compound eye.

Description: Supraclypeal area not elevated or keeled (Fig. 54); ocular hairs long ( $\sim 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$; as in Fig. 5); area around mid ocellus swollen only posteriorly (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 19B); pronotal tubercle lamellate and nearly straight, not forming distinct lobes (Fig. 31); mesoscutum and mesoscutellum sparsely punctate, interspaces between punctures larger than puncture diameter (Fig. 35), or densely punctate, interspaces between punctures subequal to or larger than puncture diameter (as in Fig. 51); mesoscutellum anteriorly with hairs forming two separate distinct patches (Fig. 35); posterior margin of mesoscutellum obtusely produced medially, convexity conspicuously emarginate medially and upwardly oriented (Fig. 35); axilla short, free apical portion shorter than basal portion (Fig. 35); concavity of T1 lacking marginal carina; postgradular grooves of T2-T3 broadly interrupted medially (as in Fig. 86); apical fasciae of T2-T5 complete (as in Figs 29, 84). Female: clypeus with surface flat or nearly so (as in Fig. 54); pretarsal claw bifurcate, inner and outer rami separated by broad concavity (Fig. 36); T6 dorsal carina inconspicuously elevated, not sharp (Fig. 38); S6 narrow, elongate, much longer than broad, lateral notches obsolete, a weak impression, not interrupting outline in dorsal view (Fig. 38). Male: gena deeply excavated along posterior margin of eye, excavated area densely covered with appressed, plumose white hairs (Fig. 39); genal excavation linear, anterior and posterior margins
nearly parallel, more than $4 \times$ longer than broad (Fig. 39); hypostomal concavity shallow and hairy throughout (Fig. 39); postgradular area of T2 with a small, circular fovea, not hairy, not surrounded by punctures but by an impunctate area; postgradular area of T3 without fovea or punctate area; T5 lateral spines short, at most as long as wide, not reaching the apex of apical rim (as in Fig. 63); apical margin of S4 entire (as in Fig. 62).

Comments: Species within this subgenus are primarily Australasian but C.confusa is Indomalayan. Rocha-Filho (2016) provides a revision of the Australian fauna with two synonyms concerning the species of this new subgenus.

Etymology: From the Greek words $\kappa \alpha \lambda o \varsigma^{\prime}$ (kalos = 'beautiful') $+\sigma \dot{\alpha} \rho \iota \sigma \alpha \quad$ (sarissa/sarisa = 'long pike'), for the elongate and narrowed S 6 shape in females.

List of species: Coelioxys albolineata*, Coelioxys confusa*, and Coelioxys dispersa Cockerell, 1911*. Coelioxys peregrinata Cockerell, 1911* from the Solomon Islands is likely to be a member of this subgenus, but the mesoscutellum is coarsely and densely punctate and not emarginate medially on posterior margin.

## Coelioxys (Eingana) Rocha-Filho subgen. nov. <br> http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org: act:76F4C6C8-757A-4891-A29E-415976C0429B

Type species: Coelioxys reginae Cockerell, 1905.
Diagnosis: Species of C. (Eingana) subgen. nov. are distinguished from all other Coelioxys subgenera by the following combination of characteristics as appropriate for each sex (alternative possibilities). For both sexes: mesoscutellum densely to coarsely punctate, interspaces between punctures much shorter than puncture diameter (as in Figs 83, 85) (sparsely punctate, interspaces between punctures larger than puncture diameter; Fig. 35); axilla short, free apical portion shorter than basal portion (Fig. 35) (free apical portion longer than basal portion; Fig. 69). Female: pretarsal claw bifurcate (Fig. 36) (pretarsal claw lacking inner ramus); S 6 notches either obtuse (as in Fig. 14) or acute (Fig. 40), clearly visible in dorsal view [notches absent (Fig. 28), obsolete (Fig. 38), not interrupting outline in dorsal view]. Male: gena deeply excavated along posterior margin of eye (Fig. 37) (not excavated; Fig. 15) and genal excavation distinctly convex posteriorly, not linear (Fig. 41) [excavation linear, anterior and
posterior margins nearly parallel in C. (Callosarissa) subgen. nov.; Fig. 39]. As in males of C. (Callosarissa) subgen. nov. the gena along the posterior margin of the compound eye is deeply excavated and densely covered with appressed, white, plumose hairs.

Description: Supraclypeal area not elevated or keeled (Fig. 54); ocular hairs long ( $\sim 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$; as in Fig. 5), or short ( $0.07-0.08 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) in C. froggatti; area around mid ocellus swollen only posteriorly (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 19B); pronotal tubercle lamellate and nearly straight, not forming distinct lobes (Fig. 31); mesoscutellum densely to coarsely punctate, interspaces between punctures much shorter than puncture diameter (as in Figs 83, 85) in C. reginae, and coarsely and densely punctate, interspaces linear to shorter than puncture diameter (as in Fig. 83) in C. froggatti; mesoscutellum pilosity anteriorly with hairs forming two separate distinct patches (Fig. 35); posterior margin of mesoscutellum rounded, neither produced medially nor emarginate (Fig. 71); axilla short, free apical portion shorter than basal portion (Fig. 35); concavity of T1 lacking marginal carina; postgradular grooves of T2-T3 broadly interrupted medially (as in Fig. 86); apical fasciae of T2-T5 complete in C. froggatti (as in Fig. 29), or broadly interrupted medially in C. reginae (as in Fig. 86). Female: clypeus surface flat or nearly so (as in Fig. 54); pretarsal claw bifurcate, inner and outer rami separated by broad concavity (Fig. 36); T6 dorsal carina sharp, conspicuously elevated subapically (Fig. 40); S6 triangular, broader, not conspicuously elongate, subapical notches either acute, conspicuous (Fig. 40), or obtuse (as in Fig. 14). Male: gena deeply excavated along posterior margin of eye, excavated area densely covered with appressed, plumose white hairs (Fig. 37); genal excavation convex posteriorly, not linear, posterior margin distinctly curved, less than $3.5 \times$ longer than broad (Fig. 41); hypostomal concavity shallow and hairy throughout (Figs 37, 41); postgradular area of T2 lacking fovea or punctate area in C.froggatti, but with a small, circular, glabrous fovea, surrounded by an impunctate area in C. reginae; postgradular area of T3 without fovea or punctate area in both species; T5 lateral spines short, at most as long as wide, not reaching the apex of apical rim (as in Fig. 63); apical margin of S4 entire (as in Fig. 62).

Comments: This subgenus is known only from Australia, its species were treated by Rocha-Filho (2016).

Etymology: According to Australian Aboriginal mythology, Eingana is a snake creator-Goddess, mother of humanity, water, and all non-human
animals. This name was chosen because this is an Australian endemic subgenus.

List of species: Coelioxys froggatti*, C. reginae*, and Coelioxys tasmaniana Rocha-Filho, 2016*.

## Coelioxys (Leuraspidia) Rocha-Filho SUBGEN. NOV. <br> http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act: <br> 1BE5277F-F633-403A-9FA4-577705CEFB61

Type species: Coelioxys azteca Cresson, 1878.
Diagnosis: The following combination of characteristics for either males or females separates species of this subgenus from all other Coelioxys subgenera. For both sexes: median ocellus not surrounded by swollen area (Fig. 54) (median ocellus surrounded by a conspicuous impunctate, swollen area; Figs 52, 53); medial area of mesoscutum conspicuously swollen (Fig. 58) (not swollen; Fig. 55); dorsal and superior surfaces of mesoscutellum fully separated by a distinct, somewhat sharp, nearly impunctate edge, forming a distinct acute angle (Fig. 50) [surfaces separated by an indistinct subcarinate edge (Fig. 51) or surfaces gradually curving into each other]; S6 notches in females acute, clearly visible in dorsal view (Fig. 60) [notches absent (Fig. 28), obsolete (Fig. 57), or obtuse (Fig. 14)], and T5 in males with conspicuous lateral tooth (Fig. 63) (lateral tooth absent or indistinct; Fig. 61).

Description: Supraclypeal area not elevated or keeled (Fig. 54); ocular hairs long ( $\sim 0.12 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), very long ( $\sim 0.17 \mathrm{~mm}$ or longer) in C. dinellii (Fig. 58); subocellar area punctate, flat or inconspicuously elevated, median ocellus not surrounded by swollen area (Fig. 54); supraclypeal area unmodified, not forming a rounded ridge (Fig. 54); carina of pronotal tubercle lamellate and emarginate, forming two distinct lobes (as in Figs 31, 73), or sharply carinate but not forming a lamella, straight, not forming lobes in C. dinellii (as in Fig. 75); mesoscutellum sparsely punctate, interspaces between punctures larger than puncture diameters; mesoscutellum produced over metanotum and propodeum, its posterior margin produced medially into a flat, toothlike process upwardly oriented; mesoscutellum pilosity anteriorly with hairs forming two separated distinct patches (as in Figs 50, 85); axilla long, free apical portion longer than basal portion (as in Fig. 69), not curved apically, nearly parallel with one another; concavity of T1 carinate (as in Fig. 42); postgradular grooves of T2-T3 broadly interrupted
medially (as in Fig. 25). Female: clypeus surface flat or nearly so (Fig. 54); pretarsal claw lacking inner ramus (as in Fig. 82) or bifurcate with inner and outer rami separated by broad concavity (as in Fig. 36) in C.bertonii; T6 dorsal carina apically obsolescent, inconspicuously elevated (as in Fig. 38) in C. bertonii; inconspicuously keeled, keel not sharply elevated (as in Fig. 65) in C. azteca, and conspicuously keeled, keel sharply elevated (as in Fig. 40) in C. dinellii; S6 elongate and subacute, strongly flexed downwards, conspicuously notched subapically (Fig. 60). Male: gena not excavated (as in Fig. 15); hypostomal area lacking concavity in C. dinellii (as in Fig. 18); concavity present and deep in C. azteca and C.bertonii, bare at least on the posterior margin (Rocha-Filho \& Packer, 2015: fig. 13G) in C. azteca and hairy throughout (as in Figs 37, 41) in C. bertonii; postgradular areas of T2T3 with punctate areas composed of punctures smaller than those of the disc, and arranged in a circular to elliptical area (as in Figs 66, 68) in C. azteca and C. dinellii; in C. bertonii, postgradular area of T2 with a shallow, hairy fovea composed of small punctures with small punctures adjacent and postgradular area of T3 with a circular, shallow fovea composed of punctures smaller than the adjacent punctures of the disc, and surrounded by punctures forming a more or less elliptical area; apical fasciae of T2-T5 broadly interrupted medially (as in Fig. 68); T5 lateral spines short, at most as long as wide, not reaching the apex of apical rim (Fig. 63); S4 apical margin deeply emarginate, forming a U-shaped process (Fig. 64).

Comments: Species of this subgenus are primarily Neotropical, with some records of the type species, C. azteca, from the Nearctic areas of Mexico (Ascher \& Pickering, 2015).

Etymology: From Greek words $\lambda \varepsilon v \rho o ́ \varsigma$ (leuros $=$ 'smooth') $+\alpha \sigma \pi i \delta \alpha \quad$ (aspida $=$ 'shield') because of the polished, smooth mesoscutum and mesoscutellum of the species in this subgenus.

List of species: Coelioxys azteca*, C. bertonii, and C. dinellii. The following species are likely to belong to this subgenus: Coelioxys aculeaticeps Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys bequaertiana Cockerell, 1931*, Coelioxys bifida Friese, 1904*, Coelioxys buchwaldi Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys buehleri Schrottky, 1909, Coelioxys haematura Cockerell, 1914*, Coelioxys longiventris Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys pygidialis Schrottky, 1902, Coelioxys rufa Friese, 1921*, Coelioxys rufopicta Smith, 1854*, Coelioxys tepaneca Cresson, 1878*, and Coelioxys wilmattae Cockerell, 1949*.

# Coelioxys (Melissoctonia) Rocha-Filho SUBGEN. NOV. <br> http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act: ED5F46F1-D00D-4103-A5DC-796333552952 

Type species: Coelioxys conoidea (Illiger, 1806).
Diagnosis: The species in this subgenus can be distinguished from the other species within Coelioxys by the following combination of characteristics for either males or females. For both sexes: pronotal tubercle sharply carinate, discrete, not forming a lamella (Fig. 75) [pronotal tubercle rounded on outer margin (Fig. 30) or lamellate throughout (Fig. 73)]; axilla long, free apical portion longer than basal portion (Fig. 85) (free apical portion shorter than basal portion; Fig. 35); postgradular grooves of T2-T3 broadly interrupted medially (Fig. 86) [grooves complete (Fig. 24) or slightly interrupted medially]; apical fasciae of S2-S5 interrupted medially; mandible in females conspicuously curved medially on outer surface (Fig. 82) (slender, not curved or angled; Fig. 81); S6 in females lacking lateral notches (Fig. 89) (notches present; Figs 26, 87), and in males S4 apical margin slightly emarginate and apical rim conspicuously emarginate, forming two slightly elevated arms (Fig. 93) [apical margin entire (Fig. 92) or deeply emarginate, forming a U-shaped process (Figs 49, 64)].

Description: Supraclypeal area not elevated or keeled (as in Fig. 54); ocular hairs very long ( $\sim 0.17 \mathrm{~mm}$ or longer; as in Fig. 58); area around mid ocellus flat, not swollen or carinate (as in Fig. 54); pronotal tubercle sharply carinate, discrete, not forming lamella, and nearly straight, not forming distinct lobes (Fig. 75); mesoscutum coarsely and densely punctate, no interspaces between punctures or shorter than puncture diameter (Fig. 85); posterior margin of mesoscutellum rounded, neither produced medially nor emarginate (Fig. 85); mesoscutellum basally with two small, separated, sublateral spots of subappressed hairs (Fig. 85); axilla long, free apical portion longer than basal portion, not curved apically, nearly parallel with one another (Fig. 85); concavity of T1 lacking marginal carina; postgradular grooves of T2T3 broadly interrupted medially (Fig. 86); apical fasciae of T1-T5 broadly interrupted medially, composed only of thick, subtriangular lateral bands (Fig. 86); apical fasciae of S2-S5 interrupted medially. Female: clypeus surface flat or nearly so (as in Fig. 54); pretarsal claw lacking inner ramus (Fig. 82); T6 dorsal carina nearly complete, reaching more than two-thirds the T6 length, conspicuously elevated, sharp, and separating two elliptical, shallow depressions apically (Fig. 89); S6 broad, lanceolate,
lacking lateral notches (Fig. 89). Male: gena surface not excavated (as in Fig. 15); hypostomal concavity shallow, conspicuously hairy on anterior margin; postgradular area of T2 with a transverse, deep fovea composed of small punctures, with a narrow impunctate margin entirely surrounding the fovea (Fig. 90), postgradular area of T3 lacking fovea or punctate area; T5 lateral spines short, at most as long as wide, not reaching the apex of apical rim (as in Fig. 63); S4 apical margin slightly emarginate and apical rim conspicuously emarginate, forming two slightly elevated arms.

Comments: This subgenus is only represented in the Palaearctic region.

Etymology: From the Greek words $\mu \dot{\prime} \lambda \iota \sigma \sigma \alpha$ ( melissa $=$ 'bee') + к九óvos (ktonos $=$ 'killer'), literally 'bee killer', because of the hospicidal behaviour displayed by Coelioxys larvae.

## List of species: Coelioxys conoidea.

## Coelioxys (Rozeniana) Rocha-Filho subgen. nov.

 http://zoobank.org/urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:F11EBF9B-481D-46BE-8018-A76A81BC6AC2

## Type species: Coelioxys rufescens Lepeletier \& Serville, 1825.

Diagnosis: Species within this new subgenus can be separated from all others by the following combination of characteristics for either males or females. Mesoscutellum basally lacking spots of subappressed hairs (Fig. 83) (with two separate spots; Fig. 85); axilla conspicuously curved apically, convergent (Fig. 83) [nearly parallel (Fig. 85) or divergent from one another (Fig. 69)]; postgradular grooves of T2-T3 broadly interrupted medially (Fig. 84) [grooves complete (Fig. 24) or slightly interrupted medially]; apical fasciae of S2-S5 complete; mandible shape dorsally in females conspicuously curved medially on outer surface (Fig. 82) (slender, not curved or angled; Fig. 81); S6 in females conspicuously notched, notches broad and clearly visible from dorsal view (Fig. 87) [notches absent (Fig. 28), obsolete (Fig. 38), or acute (Fig. 26)], and in males S4 apical margin entire and apical rim slightly emarginate (Fig. 92) [apical margin emarginate (Fig. 93) or deeply emarginated, forming a U-shaped process (Figs 49, 64)].

Description: Supraclypeal area not elevated or keeled (as in Fig. 54); ocular hairs very long ( $\sim 0.17 \mathrm{~mm}$ or longer) (as in Fig. 58); area around mid ocellus flat,
not swollen or carinate (as in Fig. 54); pronotal tubercle sharply carinate, discrete, not forming a conspicuously elevated lamella and nearly straight, not forming distinct lobes (Fig. 75); mesoscutum coarsely and densely punctate, no interspaces between punctures or shorter than puncture diameter (Fig. 83); posterior margin of mesoscutellum rounded, neither produced medially nor emarginate (Fig. 83); mesoscutellum basally lacking spots of subappressed hairs (Fig. 83); axilla long, free apical portion longer than basal portion, conspicuously curved apically, convergent (Fig. 83); concavity of T1 lacking marginal carina; postgradular grooves of T2-T3 broadly interrupted medially (Fig. 84); apical fasciae of T1-T5 complete (Fig. 84). Female: clypeus surface flat or nearly so (as in Fig. 54); pretarsal claw lacking inner ramus (as in Fig. 82); T6 dorsal carina incomplete, reaching about one-third T6 length, evanescent half basally, inconspicuously elevated apically, rounded, not sharp, and separating two elliptical, obsolescent depressions; S6 elongate and conspicuously notched, lateral notches broad, not acute (Fig. 87). Male: gena surface not excavated (as in Fig. 15); hypostomal concavity deep and nearly bare, anterior margin with an inconspicuous line of hairs; postgradular area of T2 with a very slender, shallow, punctate fovea with impunctate margin only found posteriorly to the fovea (Fig. 88), postgradular area of T3 lacking fovea or punctate area; T5 lateral spines short, at most as long as wide, not reaching the apex of apical rim (as in Fig. 63); S4 apical margin entire and apical rim slightly emarginate.

Comments: Species in this subgenus are restricted to the Palaearctic region.

Etymology: This subgenus is named in honour of Dr Jerome G. Rozen Jr, Curator of the American Museum of Natural History, for his lifetime of work on cleptoparasitic bees and the nesting biology and description of immature stages of bees.

List of species: Coelioxys rufescens. The Palaearctic species Coelioxys aurolimbata Förster, 1853, Coelioxys mongolica Friese, 1925, Coelioxys torquata Warncke, 1992, and Coelioxys tricarinata Morawitz, 1875 are likely to belong to this subgenus.
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## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article:
Table S1. List of important synapomorphies for the nodes. Female characters ( $0-161$ ); Male characters (162-238).
Table S2. Character matrix used in the phylogenetic analyses of the genera Coelioxys and Radoszkowskiana.
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[^1]:    Figure 1. Strict consensus tree of the 25 equally most-parsimonious trees in the equal-weights analysis. Branch support values are displayed below the nodes in the following order: bootstrap, Bremer support, and symmetric resampling values (values in square brackets are negative). When only two values are displayed they refer to bootstrap and symmetric resampling, as the Bremer values could not be estimated, and when only one value is shown it refers to bootstrap. Colours indicate Michener's subgeneric classification.

